

CALIFORNIA HEALTHY KIDS SURVEY



Colusa Unified Secondary 2021-2022 Main Report





This report was prepared by WestEd, a research, development, and service agency, in collaboration with Duerr Evaluation Resources, under contract from the California Department of Education School Health Office. For contract information, contact:

Hilva Chan California Department of Education School Health and Safety Office Educator Excellence and Equity Division 1430 N Street Sacramento, CA 95814 hchan@cde.ca.gov

Recommended citation:

Colusa Unified School District. *California Healthy Kids Survey, 2021-2022: Main Report.* San Francisco: WestEd for the California Department of Education.

Contents

Page
st of Tables
Tobacco Module
1. Module Sample
2. Smoking Patterns and Access to Cigarettes
3. Smoking Cessation
4. Attitudes and Beliefs
5. Prevention Program Exposure
6. Media Exposure
pendix I
pendix II

List of Tables

		Page
Q. Tobacco	Module	170
1. Module S Q1.1	Sample	170 170
2. Smoking Q2.1 Q2.2 Q2.3 Q2.4 Q2.5	Patterns and Access to Cigarettes	171 171 172 173 173 173
3. Smoking Q3.1 Q3.2 Q3.3 Q3.4	Cessation	174 174 174 175 175
4. Attitudes Q4.1 Q4.2 Q4.3 Q4.4 Q4.5 Q4.6	and Beliefs	176 176 176 177 177 178 178
5. Preventio Q5.1 Q5.2 Q5.3	on Program Exposure	179 179 179 180
6. Media Ex Q6.1	Tobacco Use in Movies, Past 12 Months	181 181
Appendix I		182
Appendix I	I	183

Tobacco Module

1. Module Sample

Table Q1.1

Student Sample for Tobacco Module

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
Student Sample Size				
Target sample	146	123	144	115
Final number	113	15	75	1
Response Rate	77%	12%	52%	1%

2. Smoking Patterns and Access to Cigarettes

Table Q2.1

Lifetime Smoking

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Smoked cigarettes daily				
No	99	93	97	
Yes	1	7	3	
Smoked 100 cigarettes				
No	100	100	99	
Yes	0	0	1	

Question HS/MS Q.1, 4: Have you ever smoked cigarettes daily, that is, at least one cigarette every day for 30 days?... Have you smoked 100 cigarettes in your life?

Table Q2.2Smoking in Past 30 Days

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Number of cigarettes smoked per day			, -	, -
None	99	100	99	
Less than 1 cigarette per day	0	0	0	
1 cigarette or more per day	1	0	1	
1 cigarette per day	0	0	1	
2 to 5 cigarettes per day	1	0	0	
6 to 10 cigarettes per day	0	0	0	
11 to 20 cigarettes per day	0	0	0	
More than 20 cigarettes per day	0	0	0	
Sources for obtaining cigarettes				
Did not smoke cigarettes in the past 30 days	99	93	99	
Bought them in a store	0	0	0	
Bought them from a vending machine	0	0	0	
Gave someone else money to buy them for me	1	0	0	
Borrowed them from someone else	0	0	1	
Took them from a store or family member	0	0	0	
A friend gave them to me	0	0	0	
A person 18 years or older gave them to me	0	0	0	
Other people gave them to me	0	0	0	
Got them some other way	0	7	0	
Current cigar smoking				
0 days	99	100	99	
1 to 2 days	1	0	0	
3 to 5 days	0	0	0	
6 to 9 days	0	0	1	
10 to 19 days	0	0	0	
20 to 30 days	0	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.3, 5, 6: During the past 30 days, on the days you smoked, how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?... If you smoked cigarettes during the past 30 days, how did you usually get them? (Select Only One Response.)... During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke any cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars (Swishers, Black&Mild, or Prime Times)?

Table Q2.3

E-Cigarette Consumption

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
Have you ever used a vaping device to consume any of the following?	/0	70	70	/0
I've never used a vaping device or e-cigarette	88	85	87	
Nicotine or tobacco substitute	6	8	9	
Marijuana or THC	2	0	7	
Amphetamines, cocaine, or heroin	0	0	0	
A flavored product without nicotine, alcohol, or other drug	5	15	3	
Any other product or substance	0	0	0	
I was not sure what was in the vaping device or e-cigarette	3	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.32: Have you ever used a vaping device or e-cigarette to consume any of the following? (Mark All That Apply.)

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents. Total percentages may exceed 100% for "mark all that apply" items.

Table Q2.4

Ever Smoke to Control Weight

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
No	100	93	97	
Yes	0	7	3	

Question HS/MS Q.2: Did you ever smoke to control your weight? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q2.5

Current Desire to Quit Smoking Cigarettes

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
I don't smoke cigarettes; does not apply	96	93	97	
No	3	7	1	
Yes	2	0	1	

Question HS/MS Q.7: If you now smoke cigarettes, would you like to quit smoking? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

3. Smoking Cessation

Table Q3.1Likelihood of Quitting

, 2 0				
	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
I don't use tobacco; does not apply	96	93	97	
Definitely will	4	7	0	
Probably will	1	0	0	
May or may not	0	0	0	
Probably will not	0	0	3	
Definitely will not	0	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.8: If you are currently using tobacco, how likely are you to try to quit? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q3.2

Lifetime Frequency of Smoking Cessation Attempts

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
I don't smoke cigarettes; does not apply	97	87	97	
0 times	2	13	1	
One or more times	1	0	1	
1 time	1	0	1	
2 to 3 times	0	0	0	
4 or more times	0	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.9: How many times have you tried to quit smoking cigarettes? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q3.3Control Over Quitting

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
I don't use tobacco; does not apply	98	93	99	
No control at all	0	0	0	
A little control	0	7	1	
Medium control	0	0	0	
A lot of control	1	0	0	
Total control	1	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.10: How much control do you have over whether you quit using tobacco? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q3.4

Type of Help Sought at School to Help Quit Smoking, Past 12 Months

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
Go to a special group or class				
Did not use tobacco	95	93	99	
No	3	7	1	
Yes	2	0	0	
Talk to an adult at your school about how to quit				
Did not use tobacco	95	93	97	
No	5	7	3	
Yes	1	0	0	
Talk to a peer helper about how to quit				
Did not use tobacco	95	93	99	
No	4	7	1	
Yes	2	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.11-13: If you used tobacco during the past 12 months, did you do any of the following things at school to get help to quit using?... Go to a special group or class... Talk to an adult at your school about how to quit... Talk to a peer helper about how to quit.

4. Attitudes and Beliefs

Table Q4.1

Likelihood of Smoking in the Next Year

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Sure it will not happen	75	67	74	
It probably will not happen	20	33	22	
Even chance (50-50) that it will happen	4	0	0	
It probably will happen	1	0	4	
It will happen for sure	1	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.17: How likely do you think it is that you will smoke one or more cigarettes in the next year? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q4.2

Agreement with Eight Statements About Smoking

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Smoking makes kids look grown up	14	38	23	
Smoking makes your teeth yellow	94	69	80	
Smoking is cool	5	0	7	
Smoking makes you smell bad	87	54	75	
Smoking helps you make friends	9	17	9	
Smoking is bad for your health	91	83	81	
Smoking helps you relax	35	17	30	
Smoking helps control your weight	27	17	19	

Question HS/MS Q.19-26: Please indicate whether or not you agree with the following statements... Smoking makes kids look grown up... Smoking makes your teeth yellow... Smoking is cool... Smoking makes you smell bad... Smoking helps you make friends... Smoking is bad for your health... Smoking helps you relax... Smoking helps control your weight.

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Results are based on percent of participants responding "Very much agree" or "Agree".

Table Q4.3Estimated Prevalence of Adult Cigarette Smoking

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
None of them	33	21	40	
Some	56	50	47	
Many	8	14	11	
Most or all	3	14	3	

Question HS/MS Q.18: About how many adults you know smoke cigarettes? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q4.4Estimated Prevalence of Peer Cigarette Smoking

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
0	34	31	54	
10	27	31	31	
20	12	23	9	
30	7	0	1	
40	4	0	0	
50	5	0	3	
60	2	15	0	
70	2	0	1	
80	1	0	0	
90	0	0	0	
100	7	0	0	

Question HS/MS Q.31: Think about a group of 100 students (about three classrooms) in your grade. About how many students do you think smoke cigarettes at least once a month? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

		•		
	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Neither approve nor disapprove	40	38	40	
Somewhat disapprove	13	8	9	
Strongly disapprove	47	54	51	

Table Q4.5Personal Disapproval of Peer Cigarette Smoking, One or More Packs a Day

Question HS/MS Q.27: How do you feel about someone your age smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q4.6

Perceived Peer Disapproval of Cigarette Smoking, One or More Packs a Day

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Neither approve nor disapprove	25	31	26	
Somewhat disapprove	17	8	12	
Strongly disapprove	58	62	62	

Question HS/MS Q.28: How do you think your close friends would feel about you smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?

5. Prevention Program Exposure

Table Q5.1

Tobacco Education in School, Past 12 Months

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Have lessons about tobacco and its effects on the body	,.	70	,.	,,,
No	35	47	64	
Yes	51	40	26	
Not sure	15	13	10	
Practice different ways to refuse or say "no" to tobacco offers				
No	42	47	68	
Yes	32	40	22	
Not sure	26	13	10	

Question HS/MS Q.15, 16: During the past 12 months, did you do any of these things at school?... Have lessons about tobacco and its effects on the body... Practice different ways to refuse or say "no" to tobacco offers. Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table Q5.2

Perceived Ability to Refuse a Friend's Offer of Cigarettes

	Grade 9 %	Grade 10 %	Grade 11 %	Grade 12 %
Very hard	2	0	1	
Hard	5	7	4	
Easy	19	33	8	
Very easy	74	60	86	

Question HS/MS Q.14: How hard would it be for you to refuse or say "no" to a friend who offered you a cigarette to smoke?

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
No	66	77	84	
Yes	34	23	16	

Table Q5.3Talked with Parents/Guardians About Tobacco Use, Past 12 Months

Question HS/MS Q.29: During the past 12 months, have you talked with at least one of your parents or guardians about the dangers of tobacco use?

6. Media Exposure

Table Q6.1

Tobacco Use in Movies, Past 12 Months

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
	%	%	%	%
0 times	26	23	40	
1 time	6	0	9	
2 times	12	15	13	
3 times	7	8	15	
4 to 6 times	13	8	7	
7 or more times	37	46	15	

Question HS/MS Q.30: During the past 12 months, how many times did you see someone smoking tobacco in a movie you watched?

Appendix I

2021-22 CHKS Secondary Survey Response Rates

Eligible Schools	9th %	10th %	11th %	12th %
Colusa Alternative Home				
Colusa High	100	17	100	1

Notes: Response rates are presented by grade level. Eligible schools listed are based on CBEDS 2021-22 public school and 2020-21 enrollment data files. Directly funded charter schools have been excluded from the list.

Appendix II

CHKS Content Overview, 2021-22

This brief guide to key CHKS Core Module indicators is designed to help survey users more easily understand and interpret their findings.¹ References are provided to the tables in CHKS reports where results for the indicators discussed can be found. References are also made to other relevant CHKS reports, factsheets, and resources that can be downloaded from the CalSCHLS website (calschls.org) for further information. What Works Briefs that provide guidance on best practices related to areas assessed by the survey are available from the California Safe and Supportive Schools website (ca-safe-supportive-schools.wested.org/resources).

Special attention is directed toward indicators related to the requirements of California's Local Control and Accountability Plans (LCAP).² For a summary of state-level LCAP-related baseline CHKS data, see Factsheet #15.³

MAIN CONTENT FOCUS: REMOTE LEARNING EXPERIENCES, SCHOOL CLIMATE, AND THE NEEDS OF THE WHOLE CHILD

The main purpose of the CHKS Core Module is to assess indicators of school climate, pupil engagement, and students supports, all three key priorities required of the LCAP. It provides schools with essential data to determine the degree to which they provide the conditions and supports that all youth need to succeed in learning and developmentally thrive. Fifty-one items assessing 14 school climate domains included in a *School Climate Report Card (SCRC)* that districts can request at the district and school level.⁴ The items used in the SCRC assess school connectedness, developmental supports (e.g., caring adult relationships), and safety, including bullying and victimization.

School climate, as measured by the CHKS, is strongly related to student performance on standardized academic tests. Data for high school students show that as school climate improves—as the schools became safer, more supportive, and more engaging—test performance increased as well.⁵

In a ground-breaking analysis, a positive school climate was a distinguishing characteristic of California secondary schools that "beat the odds" academically and consistently performed better on the state's standardized tests than *would be predicted* based on the characteristics of their students (i.e., comparing schools with similar student demographics). The more positive the school climate, the greater the probability there was for beating the odds. School climate was more strongly associated with beating the odds than a school's level of personnel resources.⁶

In addition, the CHKS Core Module provides data to help understand the degree to which schools address the needs of the Whole Child. CHKS data show that California secondary schools made greater progress in raising standardized test scores over a one-year period when they had higher percentages of students

¹ To see how local results compare with state averages in California, download a copy of the latest Biennial State CHKS report (<u>calschls.org/reports-data/#state-level_reports</u>). The Biennial State data are derived from a randomly-selected, representative state sample. County level reports are also available on the CHKS website. Biennial State CHKS and county level data can also be examined interactively on the CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (<u>calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard</u>).

² For a guide to how CalSCHLS survey items align with LCAP indicator requirements, download Helpful Resources for Local Control and Accountability Plans at <u>calschls.org/resources/#survey_content_guides</u>.

³ Austin. (2016). Download <u>calschls.org/docs/factsheet-15.pdf</u>

⁴ See <u>calschls.org/reports-data/#slcr</u>

⁵ O'Malley & Hanson. (2012). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet3_API_20120716.pdf</u>

⁶ Voight, Austin, & Hanson. (2013). Download <u>www.wested.org/online_pubs/hd-13-10.pdf</u>

who reported: (1) being less engaged in risky behaviors such as substance use and violence; (2) being more likely to eat nutritiously and exercise; and (3) experiencing caring adult relationships and high expectations at school.⁷ These results suggest that addressing the health and developmental needs of youth is a critical component of a comprehensive strategy for meeting accountability demands for improved academic performance.

GRADE-LEVEL PATTERNS

More than twenty years of survey administration has demonstrated that as youth progress through secondary school, they become: (1) less likely to report feeling connected to school and being academically motivated; and (2) more likely to report truancy, involvement in substance use, and chronic sadness and hopelessness. Here are some additional grade-level patterns in CHKS data:

- Seventh graders report the highest rates of harassment and victimization, as well as participation in a physical fight and seeing a weapon on campus.
- Ninth graders report the lowest rates of developmental support.
- Nontraditional (continuation school) students report risk behavior (e.g., binge drinking, fighting at school) prevalence rates at least twice those of 11th graders in traditional comprehensive high schools.⁸ They also exhibit lower perceived school safety, school connectedness, and developmental supports. Continuation school students are a highly vulnerable population in need of a wide range of academic, social, emotional, and behavioral supports and intervention services.⁹

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND POPULATION GROUP DIFFERENCES (Section 3)

Indicators of the demographic and background characteristics of the survey respondents help users gain a better sense of how representative the survey sample is compared to the student population overall. They also enable users to analyze how survey results vary by important groups in the school and help them to identify, and target programs for, youth most in need. School districts can use these data to meet LCAP requirements to demonstrate actions across state priorities in regard to socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and foster youth, as well as other indicators such as race/ethnicity, homeless youth, and LGBTQ youth.

In the standard CHKS report, breakdowns for selected key indicators are provided by race/ethnicity and gender. For selected key indicators, the CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard) can be used to display group differences by gender, race/ethnicity, parental education, parent military status, homeless status, afterschool participation, gender identity, and sexual orientation. Results can also be displayed for English learners, free and reduced-priced meal eligible students (prior to 2021-22), and foster youth—three important LCAP priority groups. Districts/schools can also subscribe to the District CalSCHLS private data dashboard to disaggregate their CHKS results by demographic groups for each school (call 888.841.7536 or email calschls@wested.org).

Racial-Ethnic Group Identification

Respondents self-report their identification with six racial/ethnic groups plus mixed (two or more) race.

⁷ Hanson & Austin. (2003). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf</u>

⁸ In interpreting the results for 11th graders, note that many youth who are most disengaged and involved in high risk behaviors may have already dropped out of school or did not participate in the survey because of truancy or absenteeism.

⁹ Austin, Dixon, & Bailey. (2007). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-7.pdf</u>

In one study of CHKS data, both academic performance and school well-being—the degree to which students feel safe at, supported by, and connected to the school—varied consistently and persistently across schools by racial/ethnic groupings.¹⁰ They were lowest in schools with large proportions of African American and Hispanic students, as well as in low-income schools. Controlling for socioeconomic status and other school demographic characteristics reduced these racial/ethnic group differences, but the disparities still remained. This suggests that school climate factors related to student well-being may also play a role in the racial/ethnic achievement gap. One strategy to close the gap may be to enhance learning supports that foster caring adult relationships, high expectations, meaningful participation, safety, and connectedness in schools serving large proportions of low-income African American and Hispanic students.

In the majority of cases, with notable exceptions for Asians, *the racial/ethnic gaps in performance, engagement, perceived support, and safety are greater within-schools than between-schools.*¹¹ African American, Latino, and Asian students feel less safe, engaged, and supported than their White peers *within the same school.* Inequities in these factors, for the various racial/ethnic groups, can possibly contribute to the achievement gap. Further, these findings suggest that practices designed to ensure equal access to academic resources, opportunities, learning supports, and promotion of common experiences may be effective in ameliorating the gap.

Foster Care Youth

Compared to youth who live with their parents, foster care youth report much higher rates of substance abuse, poor school attendance and grades, more violence-related behaviors, more harassment, and higher depression risk. ¹² They were also more likely to be low in caring adult relationships and total developmental support.

Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning or queer (LGBTQ) youth are at elevated risk for bullying and violence victimization, mental health problems, drug and alcohol use, and exhibiting poor performance in school.¹³ ¹⁴ For example, analysis of the latest Biennial CHKS data indicate that LGBTQ youth¹⁵ are:

- about 30 percentage points more likely than non-LGBTQ youth to experience harassment and bullying at school;
- 30-to-40 percentage points more likely to exhibit chronic sadness;
- 2-to-3 times more likely to smoke cigarettes, binge drink, and to have been drunk or high at school; and
- receive substantially fewer social supports from teachers and peers at school than their counterparts who do not identify as LGBTQ.

Because of such disparities, it is important to examine disparities across LGBTQ and non-LGBTQ youth to identify needs and support the development of practices that promote the healthy development and safety of all youth.

¹⁵ See <u>calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard/</u>

¹⁰ Austin, Hanson, Bono, & Zheng. (2008). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet_8.pdf</u>

¹¹ Hanson, Austin, & Li. (2012). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-13_20120405.pdf</u>

¹² Austin, Jones, & Annon. (2007). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-6.pdf</u>

¹³ Hanson, T., Zhang, G., Cerna, R., Stern, A., & Austin, G. (2019) Understanding the experiences of LGBTQ youth in California. San Francisco, CA: WestEd. <u>Download wested.org/resources/lgbtq-students-in-california/</u>

¹⁴ Russell, S. T., & Fish, J. N. (2016). Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *12*, 465-487.

SCHOOL PERFORMANCE, SUPPORTS, AND ENGAGEMENT (Section 6)

A major focus of the CHKS is providing data to gauge the level of pupil engagement, an LCAP state priority on which districts are required to show improvement. Enhancing student engagement has been identified as the key to addressing problems of low achievement, high levels of student misbehavior, alienation, and high dropout rates.¹⁶ CHKS and other surveys consistently show that as youth progress through the school system, indicators of engagement decline.

It is important to look at engagement from three perspectives: behavioral, emotional, and cognitive. The CHKS provides data on all three types. As discussed below, the Academic Motivation scale and self-reported grades provide insight into cognitive engagement; the School Connectedness scale into emotional engagement; and attendance and truancy data into behavioral engagement. Other behavioral engagement indicators on the survey include substance use at school and violence perpetration. Research shows that student engagement is malleable and that all three types of engagement are influenced by the level to which students perceive the school as having a positive environment.

The CHKS Developmental Supports scales provide insight into whether students experience three protective factors in their school (caring relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation). These protective factors characterize a positive school climate and are linked to school connectedness and positive academic, behavioral, and health outcomes. Other school climate factors assessed are the level of parent involvement and the quality of the school physical environment, both also LCAP priorities. The likelihood that students will be motivated and engaged is increased to the extent that their teachers, family, and friends effectively support their purposeful involvement in learning and in school.

Self-Reported Grades

The CHKS asks students to indicate what grades they received in school in the past 12 months. Although self-reports are not as accurate as actual report card data, they provide a general sense of the school performance of the survey respondents from their self-perception. To learn more about the factors that might be causing poor performance, request a CHKS report showing how all the survey results vary by level of performance (call 888.841.7536 or email calschls@wested.org).

Academic Motivation

A four-item scale gauges student academic motivation by asking how strongly they agree that they try hard to do well on schoolwork, try hard because they are interested in the work, work hard to understand new things at school, and always try to do better. On the 2017-19 State CHKS, the percentage of students agreeing with the questions on this scale ranged from 71 percent in 11th grade to 75 percent in 11th.¹⁷

In order to achieve rigorous academic goals, students need not only a challenging and engaging curriculum, but they need to be emotionally connected to their learning. Student perceptions of the school environment and their degree of school connectedness influence their achievement motivation. But students may be behaviorally and/or emotionally invested in a given activity without actually exerting the necessary mental effort to understand and master the knowledge, craft, or skill that the activity promotes. This scale provides insight into the overall level of that mental effort.

In addition, the supplementary School Climate Module includes an Academic Mindset and Learning Engagement scale and a series of questions about supports for learning and academic rigor.

¹⁶ Wang, M-T, & Eccles, J. (2013). School context, achievement motivation, and academic engagement: A longitudinal study of school engagement using a multidimensional perspective. *Learning and Instruction, 28*, 12-23.

¹⁷ Austin, Hanson, Zhang, & Zheng. (2020). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1719.pdf</u>

Absenteeism

Before good teachers with quality curriculums and engaging activities can improve academic performance, the students have to show up. The CHKS provides data to identify what proportion of respondents are at risk of chronic absenteeism (missed 2 or more days during the past 30 days) and what were the reasons for absences.¹⁸ Users can request reports looking at the characteristics of youth based on absenteeism indicators to guide efforts to improve the LCAP pupil engagement priority (call 888.841.7536 or email calschls@wested.org).

Poor attendance is a marker of a wider variety of other problems, including low school connectedness and learning engagement. Both the US Department of Education and the California Office of the Attorney General have launched initiatives designed to raise awareness about and combat chronic absenteeism, generally defined as missing 10 percent of school days. According to a report by Attendance Works, the nation's large and persistent achievement gaps are rooted in a largely hidden crisis of chronic absenteeism, especially among low-income and minority children.¹⁹

Biennial State CHKS data indicate that the most common reasons for being absent in secondary school, after illness, are generally not getting enough sleep and anxiety or stress, followed by being behind in schoolwork, and being bored or uninterested in school, another good indicator of disengagement.²⁰

Developmental Supports

Research shows that when schools (or families or communities) provide three developmental supports **caring adult relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation** students are more likely to report more positive academic, social-emotional, and health outcomes, including higher grades, school connectedness, attendance, and perceived safety. CHKS measures these supports using multi-item scales. Results overall suggest that the great majority of students do not receive sufficient levels of support and that the supports received are lower in high school than in middle school, even though student need for them is arguably higher.²¹ ²² ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶

School Connectedness

School connectedness is one of the indicators of school climate that a California school district must address in its LCAP. Research shows that school connectedness is associated with multiple positive academic, social-emotional, and health outcomes.²⁷ It also shows that youth who feel safe at school, experience caring adults, and have opportunities for engagement and other developmental supports are more likely to feel connected to the school. The CHKS five-item School Connectedness scale is an important differentiator between low-performing and high-performing high schools and has value as an indicator of school quality. The online Query CalSCHLS system (calschls.org/reports-data/query-calschls/) enables examination of how key survey indicators vary by school connectedness.

¹⁸ Because most students participated in school via remote learning in 2020-21, the CHKS did not ask about student truancy so that additional items could be included to assess absenteeism.

¹⁹ Attendance Works & Everyone Graduates Center. (2017, September). *Portraits of Change: Aligning School and Community Resources to Reduce Chronic Absence*. Download <u>www.attendanceworks.org/portraits-of-change/</u>

²⁰ Austin, Hanson, Polik, & Zheng. (2018). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1517.pdf</u>

²¹ Hanson & Austin. (2002). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet.pdf</u>

²² Hanson & Austin. (2002). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf</u>

²³ Hanson. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet1_caring_20120223.pdf</u>

²⁴ Hanson. (2012). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet2_participation_20120224.pdf</u>

²⁵ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief1_CaringRelationships_final.pdf</u>

²⁶ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief2_MeaningfulPart_final.pdf</u>

²⁷ National Research Council and the Institute of Medicine. (2004). *Engaging schools: Fostering high school students' motivation to learn*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.

School connectedness appears to have increased in California in the second half of the last decade, but it still declines markedly after elementary school. A substantial minority of middle and high school students are not connected to their schools. On the 2017-19 State CHKS, the average percentage of students agreeing to these scale questions declined from 62 percent in 7th grade to 53 percent in 11th, a decline similar to that found for the Academic Motivation scale.²⁸ This may be related at least in part to their lower levels of developmental support. Prior analyses have indicated that the lowest rates of both connectedness and test scores are in low-income schools.^{29 30}

Parent Involvement

Parent involvement, including promoting parental participation in school programs, is one of the LCAP state priorities. A three-item scale assesses student perceptions (level of agreement) of three components of parent involvement: teachers communicating with parents about what students are expected to learn in class, parents feeling welcome to participate at this school, and school staff taking parent concerns seriously. The same questions also are asked on the staff and parent surveys so that perceptions can be compared across all three stakeholder groups. A large and growing body of evidence has linked school success to the manner and degree to which a school communicates with parents, engages them both in school activities and education, and fosters a school climate that parents perceive as warm, inviting, and helpful.³¹

Facilities and Physical Environment

As an indicator of student perceptions of the school's physical environment, the CHKS includes a question asking students how much they agree the school "is usually clean and tidy." Additional questions are included in the supplementary School Climate Module. An analysis of 2015/17 data from the questions on both modules found that a plurality of students report that their school facilities are in good condition, but a non-trivial minority of students were dissatisfied with the condition of their school facilities.³² Between 20 percent and 25 percent of students disagree or strongly disagree on the quality of the physical environment items. Almost one-third of schools serving 11th graders were categorized as having low quality physical environments based on student reports on the clean and tidy item. Student perceptions of the quality of their school's physical environment were strongly related to student achievement.

SCHOOL VIOLENCE, VICTIMIZATION, AND SAFETY (Section 8)

The CHKS asks students a wide range of questions to ascertain the scope and nature of physical and verbal violence and victimization that occurs on campus, as well as vandalism, and the overall level of school safety.³³ Physical violence and weapons on campus have long been a major public concern. Equally disruptive are the uncounted acts of bullying, teasing, and other nonviolent misbehavior among youth, such as substance use and sales on campus.³⁴ These behaviors adversely affect not only students' ability

²⁸ Austin, Hanson, Zhang, & Zheng. (2020). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1719.pdf</u>

²⁹ Austin, Hanson, & Voight. (2013). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet5_connectedness_20130827.pdf</u>

³⁰ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief4_Connectedness_final.pdf</u>

³¹ Wilder, S. (2014). Effects of parental involvement on academic achievement. A meta-synthesis. *Educational Review*, 66:3, 377-397.

³² Hanson & Austin. (2018). Download <u>calschls.org/docs/facilities_2-18-1.pdf</u>

³³ The CHKS also includes two violence-related questions that are not school based: the frequency students experienced cyberbullying and whether they are gang members.

³⁴ Juvonen, J., & Graham, S. (2001). Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized. New York: Guilford Press.

to learn and willingness to attend school, but also the overall school environment, the ability of teachers to teach, and the willingness of adults to enter the teaching profession.^{35 36 37}

Perceived Safety

Perceived safety is another indicator that districts/schools are required to monitor as part of their LCAP school climate priority. School safety is more than the antithesis of violence. Perceived school safety is psychological as well as physical. Feelings of insecurity can have multiple sources, not all of which correctly reflect the level of danger and violence on a school campus, such as verbal bullying. Both physical and psychological safety are essential for high quality teaching and learning. It is a key factor in students feeling connected to school. Another indicator important for attendance is the percentage of students who report missing school because they didn't feel safe (Table A6.3).

If students report low levels of perceived school safety, further examination of all the indicators related to bullying and victimization is warranted. Consider conducting follow-up student voice and feedback sessions such as focus groups or Student Listening Circles and integrating questions on why students do not feel safe.³⁸

Harassment and Victimization

Most of the safety-related questions on the survey assess victimization. Because a relatively small number of students can victimize a large number of their peers, victimization questions provide important insight into the overall school climate. Nonviolent harassment in any form—threats, intimidation, rumors, and ostracism—can instill a sense of vulnerability, isolation, frustration, and fear among its victims, leading to engagement in health risk behaviors or avoidance behaviors such as missing school and social isolation. This type of misbehavior, vastly more common than any other, ruins the school day for many students. In *Bruised Inside* (2000), the National Association of Attorneys General describes harassment by peers as one of the two causes for kids to express anger using guns, knives, and fists.³⁹

The CHKS asks about the frequency with which students experienced any bullying/harassment related to six bias-related categories (gender, race/ethnicity, disability, sexual identity, religion, immigrant status), as well as for any other reason (Table A8.2). About three-in-ten secondary students report experiencing some harassment in the past year on the State CHKS, with the percentages declining between 7th and 11th grades.⁴⁰ Analyses show that victims of harassment are more likely to not feel safe at, and connected to, school; to have higher truancy; and to experience lower developmental (resilience) supports at school.⁴¹ ⁴² They report higher rates of fighting and weapons possession at school, as well as risk of depression. Students who report bias-related harassment, particularly for disability and sexual orientation, have poorer well-being than students who were only harassed for other reasons.

Different forms of verbal harassment at school are assessed by asking students about: mean rumors or lies; sexual-related jokes, comments, or gestures; being made fun of because of looks or speech; and, more generally, being made fun of, insulted or called names. Physical victimization is assessed by questions

³⁵ Barton, P. E. (2001). Facing the hard facts in education reform. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

³⁶ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief5_ViolencePerpetration_final.pdf</u>

³⁷ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief6_ViolenceVictimization_final.pdf</u>

³⁸ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief3_Safety_final.pdf</u>

³⁹ Horn, D. M., National Association of Attorneys General., & Washington (State). (2000). *Bruised inside: What our children say about youth violence, what causes it, and what we need to do about it: A report of the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG).* Washington, DC: National Association of Attorneys General.

⁴⁰ Austin, Hanson, Zhang, & Zheng. (2020). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1719.pdf</u>

⁴¹ Heck, Russell, O'Shaughnessy, Laub, Calhoun, & Austin. (2005). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-4.pdf</u>

⁴² Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-10.pdf</u>

about having been pushed/shoved, threatened with harm or injury (without or without weapon), and being afraid of being beaten up. Students are also asked whether they have ever been in a physical fight as a measure of general violence.

The supplementary School Climate Module includes Bullying Prevention and Respect for Diversity scales to provide insight into what the school is doing to reduce the problem.

Vandalism and Weapons

Two other safety-related areas assessed by the survey are school vandalism and weapons possession. Students are asked whether they damaged school property or had their property damaged at school and whether they carried a knife or other weapon to school or observed someone else doing these things.⁴³ Overall, weapons possession is not common. Statewide, only about two percent of secondary students report carrying a gun and 4-5 percent report carrying another weapon.⁴⁴ About 14 percent of 7th and 9th graders report seeing someone carrying a weapon compared to 11 percent of 11th graders.

SUBSTANCE USE (Sections 9 and 10)

An important barrier to learning, positive youth development, and health, substance use is assessed by the CHKS Core.

Alcohol and Other Drug Use (Section 9)

The misuse of alcohol and other drugs (AOD) continues to be among the most important issues confronting the nation. For schools, the problem is particularly relevant. Years of research have shown that adolescent substance use is closely connected to academic performance and contributes to raising the level of truancy and absenteeism, special education, disciplinary problems, disengagement and dropout rates, teacher turnover, and property damage.

Reflecting this, on the California School Staff Survey, 39 percent of high school staff statewide reported that alcohol and drug use are a moderate to severe problem at their school.⁴⁵

Alcohol and Other Drug Use at School (Section 9)

AOD use at school is especially troubling. This is behavior that threatens not only the user's learning ability but also the school's efforts to educate all youth. It also is an indirect indicator of school disengagement. An analysis of CHKS data found that substance use and intoxication at school, being offered drugs at school, and lifetime intoxication were significantly related to changes in California achievement test scores one year later.⁴⁶ Schools with proportionately large numbers of students who reported these behaviors exhibited smaller gains in test scores than other schools.^{47 48}

Cigarette Smoking (Section 10)

Students who report smoking cigarettes are significantly more likely than those who do not to engage in alcohol and other drug use, be involved in violence and gang membership, and experience school-related problems and disengagement. To a lesser extent, students who smoke are also more likely to be victims of violence and harassment, feel unsafe at school, and experience incapacitating sadness and loneliness.

⁴³ Students who participated in school exclusively via remote learning were not asked these questions in 2020-21.

⁴⁴ Austin, Hanson, Polik, & Zheng. (2018). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1517.pdf</u>

⁴⁵ Statewide CSSS. (2018). Download <u>calschls.org/docs/statewide_1517_csss.pdf</u>

⁴⁶ Hanson, T. L., Austin, G. A., & Lee-Bayha, J. (2004). *Ensuring that No Child is Left Behind: How are Student Health Risks & Resilience Related to the Academic Progress of Schools*. San Francisco: WestEd.

⁴⁷ Hanson & Austin. (2003). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf</u>

⁴⁸ O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief8_AOD_final.pdf</u>

These results suggest that efforts to reduce student smoking may be more successful if embedded in approaches that address a broad range of risk behaviors and problems.^{49 50}

MENTAL HEALTH (Section 7)

Student mental health can have a big impact on their health and well-being. The CHKS Core provides four measures for assessing mental health among students: (1) whether they experience chronic, incapacitating sadness or hopelessness; (2) whether they ever contemplated suicide; (3) social and emotional distress; and (4) life sastisfaction. Students who experience chronic sadness, compared to their peers who do not, are at elevated risk of a wide range of educational, health, social, and emotional problems, including lower school attendance, performance, and connectedness.⁵¹ They also report lower levels of the developmental supports in their schools and communities that have been shown to mitigate these problems. Similar findings are found among youth who have contemplated suicide.⁵²

CHKS data also show that students who report bias-related harassment, particularly for disability and sexual orientation, have poorer overall mental health than students who are harassed for other reasons or not harassed. Students who report any harassment are about twice as likely to experience chronic sadness/hopelessness than their non-harassed peers.⁵³

See also the percentage of students who report missing school because they "felt very sad, hopeless, anxious, stressed, or angry." In addition, the supplementary School Climate Module includes a Social and Emotional Supports scale that provides insight from students on what the school does to help promote mental health and foster of social-emotional competencies.

⁴⁹ Hanson & Zheng. (2006). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet2update.pdf</u>

⁵⁰ Austin, McCarthy, Slade, & Bailey. (2007). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-5.pdf</u>

⁵¹ Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-11.pdf</u>

⁵² Austin, Cragle, Delong-Cotty. (2012). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-12.pdf</u>

⁵³ Heck, Russell, O'Shaughnessy, Laub, Calhoun, & Austin. (2005). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-4.pdf</u>

⁵⁴ Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download <u>data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-10.pdf</u>