The Single Plan for Student Achievement

School: Colusa Alternative Home School

CDS Code: 06-61598-0630046

District: Colusa Unified School District

Principal: Darren Brown
Revision Date: Jauary 9, 2013

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Dwayne Newman

Position: Principal

Phone Number: (530) 458-7791

Address: 745 10th Street

Colusa, CA 95932

E-mail Address: dbrown@colusa.k12.ca.us

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on January 15, 2013.

Table of Contents

School Vision and Mission	4
School Profile	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
Data Analysis	4
Surveys	4
Classroom Observations	4
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	5
Description of Barriers and Related School Goals	7
School and Student Performance Data	8
Academic Performance Index by Student Group	8
English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	9
Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	10
CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results	11
CELDT (All Assessment) Results	12
Title III Accountability (School Data)	13
Title III Accountability (District Data)	14
Planned Improvements in Student Performance	15
School Goal #1	15
School Goal #2	16
School Goal #3	17
School Goal #4	18
School Goal #5	19
Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance	20
Centralized Service Goal #1	20
Centralized Service Goal #2	21
Centralized Service Goal #3	22
Centralized Service Goal #4	23
Centralized Service Goal #5	24
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan	25
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	25
Total Expenditures by Object Type	26
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source	27
Total Expenditures by Goal	28
School Site Council Membership	29

Recommendations and Assurances	30

School Vision and Mission

Colusa Alternative Home School's Vision and Mission Statements

The district is currently in the process of updating its Vision and Mission statement. Generaly, the mission is to educate all students with a high level of rigor in a safe and supportive atmosphere. The vision is to produce graduates who are prepared with the attitudes, skills and abilities required to be productive citizens and lifelong learners.

School Profile

Colusa Alternative Home School offers a variety of approaches to independent study. Families may, in consultation with a highly qualified teacher, direct the sequence and content of their student's learning. The teacher acts as a facilitator of learning and offers guidance regarding the pace and depth of curriculum coverage. Students are expected to demonstrate mastery of content, and progress at a reasonable pace. Curriculum is aligned with California State Standards and delivered in a variety of modalities. Assessments are typically classroom based, with grades based on projects, homework, quizzes and tests. Students take State assessments at the appropriate levels for their age and grade.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Informal surveys of climate and satisfaction are completed via feedback to teachers and the principal.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Classroom observations are limited to those students who chose to come to one of the rooms occupied by the CAHS. Generally, students work individually on self paced curriculum with materials and supplies provided by the district. Behavior management is generally not an issue for the Home school

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

State and local assessments are used to modify instruction and approve achievement as reflected in student trend data. Staff views results and analyzes the impact of curriculum choice through the lens of highly individualized independent study. Typically at the home school instructional change is achieved via changes to curriculum materials.

2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Teachers evaluate individual student performance frequently. If a student is not performing, often an alternative set of curriculum materials is one of the interventions. Students who do not demonstrate mastery on specific content are given additional instruction, additional skills assessments, and then re-assessed.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All current teachers are highly qualified staff.

4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

The school has a sufficient number of credentialed teachers and they have access to district-wide professional development and individualized PD as well.

5. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Staff development needs are determined through collaborative discussion and consensus with other professional educators in the district.

6. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Home School teachers have access to any district supplied instructional supports, and constant assistance from the principal as requested.

7. Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Teachers have collaboration time each Wednesday. Typically, there are monthly meetings of the entire district instructional staff, and the weekly professional learning groups are directed by teacher leaders.

Teaching and Learning

8. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Instruction, scope, sequence and materials are aligned with the California State Standards.

9. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-8) (EPC)

Independent study necessitates a unique approach to instructional time. The teacher assigns work based on an estimate of how much time the student will reasonably need to complete the assignment. Through ongoing observation and assessment, the quantity of work is adjusted based on the recommended instructional minutes.

10. Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Lesson pacing is directed by the teacher to adhere to the California State Standards.

11. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

All instructional materials are evaluated by multiple staff members and adopted based on the consensus that the resource is appropriate.

12. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Core instructional materials are SBE adopted. Intervention materials are adopted, and aligned curriculum.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

13. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Services at the Home School which support underperforming students include, added instructional time, changes of curricular materials, and an atmosphere of

14. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Research based instructional practices used at Colusa Alternative Home School include:

Clear and focused goals for each student.

Frequent monitoring and feedback on learning.

A curriculum tightly aligned to standards.

High expectations for each student.

Additional learning time, and early intervention for students who struggle.

Creation of a safe atmosphere.

Effective implementation of instruction with a high level of parent involvement.

Parental Involvement

15. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

16.	Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondar schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)
<u>Fun</u>	<u>ding</u>
17.	Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
18.	Fiscal support (EPC)
Des	scription of Barriers and Related School Goals

Academic Performance Index by Student Group

		API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP										
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	A	ll Studen	ts		White		Afri	can-Amer	ican		Asian	
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Number Included	32	34		19	14		0	0		0	0	
Growth API	605	627		667	736							
Base API	602	620		613	679							
Target	10	9										
Growth	3	7										
Met Target	No	No										

					API GRO	WTH BY S	TUDENT (GROUP				
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic		:	English Learners			Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			Students with Disabilities		
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Number Included	12	19		6	6		10	9		2	4	
Growth API	490	575										
Base API	570	516					516					
Target												
Growth												
Met Target												

Conclusions based on this data:

English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

		EN	IGLISH-L	ANGUA	GE ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDE	NT GRO	UP	
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Al	l Studen	ıts		White		Afric	an-Ame	rican		Asian	
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	95	65		90	59							
Number At or Above Proficient	7	7		6								
Percent At or Above Proficient	29.2	43.8		40.0								
AYP Target: ES/MS	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	Yes	Yes										

		EN	IGLISH-L	ANGUA	SE ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDE	NT GRO	JP	
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL		Hispanic	:		English Learners	3	l	econom advanta	•		ıdents w isabilitie	-
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	100	77		100	72		100	55		100	67	
Number At or Above Proficient	1											
Percent At or Above Proficient	1	1			-			-			-	
AYP Target: ES/MS	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0
Met AYP Criteria												

Conclusions based on this data:

Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GR	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Al	ll Studer	ıts		White		Afric	an-Ame	rican		Asian	
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	89	59		90	59		-					
Number At or Above Proficient	6	4		5								
Percent At or Above Proficient	27.3	26.7		33.3								
AYP Target: ES/MS	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	Yes	No										

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GF	OUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL		Hispanio	:		English Learners	5		econom advanta	•		idents w isabilitie	
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	86	65		67	58		91	46		100	100	
Number At or Above Proficient												
Percent At or Above Proficient	1	-		-			-			1		
AYP Target: ES/MS	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0
Met AYP Criteria												

Conclusions based on this data:

CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results

		2013-14 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results										
Grade	Adva	nced	Early A	dvanced	Interm	ediate	Early Inte	ermediate	Begii	nning	Number Tested	
	#	%	#							#		

Conclusions based on this data:

CELDT (All Assessment) Results

				2	.013-14 CE	LDT (All A	ssessmen	t) Results			
Grade	Adva	nced	Early A	dvanced	Interm	ediate	Early Inte	ermediate	Begiı	nning	Number Tested
	#	%	#							#	

Conclusions based on this data:

Title III Accountability (School Data)

ANAO 1		Annual Growth	
AMAO 1	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Number of Annual Testers		6	
Percent with Prior Year Data		100.0%	
Number in Cohort		6	
Number Met			
Percent Met			
NCLB Target	56.0	57.5	59.0
Met Target		*	

AMAO 2	Attaining English Proficiency							
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14			
	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction			
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More		
Number in Cohort			0	7				
Number Met								
Percent Met								
NCLB Target	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0	22.8	49.0		
Met Target			*	*				

*****	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup					
AMAO 3	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	-					
Met Percent Proficient or Above						
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate						
Met Percent Proficient or Above						

Conclusions based on this data:

Title III Accountability (District Data)

	Annual Growth					
AMAO 1	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
Number of Annual Testers	325	307	319			
Percent with Prior Year Data	99.7	100.0	100.0			
Number in Cohort	324	307	319			
Number Met	186	158	158			
Percent Met	57.4	51.5	49.5			
NCLB Target	56.0	57.5	59.0			
Met Target	Yes	No	No			

AMAO 2	Attaining English Proficiency						
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		
	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	
Number in Cohort	230	166	242	147	267	126	
Number Met	36	66	28	56	45	43	
Percent Met	15.7	39.8	11.6	38.1	16.9	34.1	
NCLB Target	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0	22.8	49.0	
Met Target	No	No	No	No	No	No	

	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level					
AMAO 3	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	Yes	Yes			
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	Yes	Yes			
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	Yes	Yes			

Conclusions based on this data:

School Goal #1

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #1:	
ata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
ow the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

School Goal #2

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #2:
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

School Goal #3

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #3:
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

School Goal #4

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #4:	
ata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
low the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

School Goal #5

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #5:	
ata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
ow the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in this section must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #1:	

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)		Proposed Expe	nditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #2:	

Actions to be Taken	- :	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #3:	

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)		Proposed Expe	nditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #4:	

Actions to be Taken	II	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #5:	

Actions to be Taken	Actions to be Taken		Person(s) Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Total Allocations by Funding Source				
Funding Source	Allocation	Balance (Allocations-Expenditures)		

Total Expenditures by Funding Source				
Funding Source	Total Expenditures			

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Object Type	Total Expenditures

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

Object Type	Funding Source	Total Expenditures

Total Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Numbers of members of each category:					

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
English Learner Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Special Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
	Signature
District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
	Signature
Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
	Signature
Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
	Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Darren Brown		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date