### The Single Plan for Student Achievement

### Colusa Alternative Home School

School Name

06-61598-0630046 CDS Code

Date of this revision: Jauary 9, 2013

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of performance goals established under the California Academic Performance Index. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Dwayne Newman

Position: Principal

Telephone Number: (530) 458-7791 Address: 745 10th Street

Colusa, CA 95932

E-mail Address: dnewman@colusa.k12.ca.us

### **Colusa Unified School District**

School District

Superintendent: Dwayne Newman Telephone Number: (530) 458-7791 Address: 745 10th Street

Colusa, CA 95932

E-mail Address: dnewman@colusa.k12.ca.us

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the School Plan on .

### **II. School Vision and Mission**

The district is currently in the process of updating its Vision and Mission statement. Generally, the mission is to educate all students with a high level of rigor in a safe and supportive atmosphere. The vision is to produce graduates who are prepared with the attitudes, skills and abilities required to be productive citizens and lifelong learners.

#### III. School Profile

Colusa Alternative Home School offers a variety of approaches to independent study. Families may, in consultation with a highly qualified teacher, direct the sequence and content of their student's learning. The teacher acts as a facilitator of learning and offers guidance regarding the pace and depth of curriculum coverage. Students are expected to demonstrate mastery of content, and progress at a reasonable pace. Curriculum is aligned with California State Standards and delivered in a variety of modalities. Assessments are typically classroom based, with grades based on projects, homework, quizzes and tests. Students take State assessments at the appropriate levels for their age and grade.

### IV. Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

### A. Data Analysis (See Appendix A)

In 2012 students in Colusa Alternative Home School had a significant gain in API. After two years of decline, CAHS API scores increased by 17 points.

32 Home School students took the STAR tests. Results varied by sub-grouping. The data are viewed and used with the knowledge that the small sample size creates a situation in which individual student's performance weighs heavily on the group totals.

The numbers of English Language Learner students in CAHS is too small to report individually. However, District – wide, ELL students met AMAO #1, but missed the target for AMAO #'s 2 and 3.

At the elementary and middle level, 78.4% of CAHS students were proficient or advanced in English – Language Arts. At the high school level that percentage was 77.8%. Both these numbers represent a 20%+ increase over the last three years.

Similarly, achievement in math at the e/m level and hs level is 79.0% and 77.4% respectively. These are also increases of 20%+ over the last three years.

Sub group achievement scores are not reported due to the low number of students in each group at each level.

#### B. Surveys

Informal surveys of climate and satisfaction are completed via feedback to teachers and the principal.

#### C. Classroom Observations

Classroom observations are limited to those students who chose to come to one of the rooms occupied by the CAHS. Generally, students work individually on self paced curriculum with materials and supplies provided by the district. Behavior management is generally not an issue for the Home school

#### D. Student Work and School Documents

Student work is collected, graded and returned or stored at the discretion of the teacher. Documentation is housed in secured files which are located in the office of the Superintendent / Home School Principal.

### E. Analysis of Current Instructional Program (See Appendix B)

V.	Description of Barriers and Related School Goals	

### VI. Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The school site council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet API and AYP growth targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of student groups not meeting state standards:

SCHOOL GOAL # 1 (Based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)							
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:  Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:							
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:						

SCHOOL GOAL #1				
Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching and Learning, Staffing and Professional Development)	Start Date	Proposed	Estimated	Funding
	Completion Date	Expenditures	Cost	Source

SCHOOL GOAL #2 (Based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)								
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:  Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:								
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:							

SCHOOL GOAL #2				
Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching and Learning, Staffing and Professional Development)	Start Date	Proposed	Estimated	Funding
	Completion Date	Expenditures	Cost	Source

SCHOOL GOAL #3 (Based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)								
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:  Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:								
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:							

SCHOOL GOAL #3				
Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching and Learning, Staffing and Professional Development)	Start Date	Proposed	Estimated	Funding
	Completion Date	Expenditures	Cost	Source

SCHOOL GOAL #4 (Based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)								
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:  Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:								
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:							

SCHOOL GOAL #4				
Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching and Learning, Staffing and Professional Development)	Start Date	Proposed	Estimated	Funding
	Completion Date	Expenditures	Cost	Source

SCHOOL GOAL #5 (Based on conclusions from Analysis of Program Components and Student Data pages)								
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:  Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:								
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:							

SCHOOL GOAL #5				
Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching and Learning, Staffing and Professional Development)	Start Date	Proposed	Estimated	Funding
	Completion Date	Expenditures	Cost	Source

### **Appendix A - School and Student Performance Data**

Table 1: Academic Performance Index by Student Group

	PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP											
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students		White		African-American			Asian				
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Number Included	53	42	32	32	26	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growth API	637	605	619		618	694						
Base API	659	635	602		647	613						
Target	7	8	10									
Growth	-22	-30	17									
Met Target	No	No	Yes									

				PERF	ORMANC	E DATA	BY STUD	ENT GRO	DUP			
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic			English Learners				conomica sadvantag		Students with Disabilities		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Number Included	20	15	12	9	6	6	20	11	10	7	3	2
Growth API		570	490					515				
Base API		606	570					581	516			
Met Target												

Table 2: Title III Accountability (District Data)

AMAO 4	Annual Growth									
AMAO 1	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12							
Number of Annual Testers	320	332	325							
Percent with Prior Year Data	99	99.1	99.7							
Number in Cohort	317	329	324							
Number Met	191	135	186							
Percent Met	60	41	57.4							
NCLB Target	53.1	54.6	56.0							
Met Target	Yes	No	Yes							

		Attaining English Proficiency											
AMAO 2	2009	9-10	201	0-11	2011-12								
	Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL instruction								
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More							
Number in Cohort	242	154	231	171	230	166							
Number Met	37	68	29	53	36	66							
Percent Met	15.3	44.2	12.6	31	15.7	39.8							
NCLB Target	17.4	41.3	18.7	43.2	20.1	45.1							
Met Target	No	Yes	No	No	No	No							

AMAG 2	Adequate Yearly Pro	gress for English Learner Subg	roup at the LEA Level
AMAO 3	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	Yes	No
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient or Above	(Pending)	No	No
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	No	No

Table 3: English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

		ENG	GLISH-LA	ANGUAG	E ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDI	ENT GRO	DUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students				White			African-American			Asian		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	
Participation Rate	91	90	100	88	90	100	100	1	1			-1	
Number At or Above Proficient	15	7	7	9	5	6							
Percent At or Above Proficient	40.5	25.9	29.2	37.5	33.3	40.0							
ES/MS	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	
HS	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	
Met AYP Criteria	Yes	No	Yes										

		ENG	GLISH-L/	ANGUAG	E ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDI	ENT GRO	OUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic			Enç	English Learners			Socioeconomic Disadvantage			Students w/Disabilities		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	
Participation Rate	95	88	100	100	75	100	95	89	100	100	67	100	
Number At or Above Proficient	5	2											
Percent At or Above Proficient	41.7	18.2											
ES/MS	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	56.8	67.6	78.4	
HS	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	55.6	66.7	77.8	
Met AYP Criteria												1	

Table 4: Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

			MATH	HEMATIC	S PERF	ORMANC	E DATA	BY STU	DENT G	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students				White			an-Ame	rican			
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Participation Rate	89	87	94	88	85	100	100					
Number At or Above Proficient	9	4	6	7	3	5						
Percent At or Above Proficient	しったい	15.4	27.3	29.2	21.4	33.3	-	-				-
ES/MS	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0
HS	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4
Met AYP Criteria	No	No	Yes		-		-	-				

			MATH	HEMATIC	S PERF	ORMANC	E DATA	BY STU	DENT G	ROUP			
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic			Enç	English Learners			Socioeconomic Disadvantage			Students w/Disabilities		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	
Participation Rate	90	88	86	86	75	67	89	78	91	100	34	100	
Number At or Above Proficient	2	0											
Percent At or Above Proficient	18.2	0.0											
ES/MS	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0	58.0	68.5	79.0	
HS	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4	54.8	66.1	77.4	
Met AYP Criteria	ŀ		-		- 1		- 1						

### Table 5: California English Language Development (CELDT) Data

		California English Language Development Test (CELDT) Results for 2011-12													
Grade	Advanced		Early Advanced		Intermediate		Early Intermediate		Beginning		Number Tested				
	# %		#	%	# %		#	%	#	%	#				
10			*****	***							*****				
11			******	***							*****				
Total			*****	***							*****				

### **Appendix B - Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

This section lists statements about the instructional program adapted from NCLB, Title I, Part A and the related California Essential Program Components (EPC). To analyze these statements, the school site council will need information from a variety of sources (e.g., Survey Results, Library Plan, Master Plan, SARC).

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration was given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

### Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (NCLB)

State and local assessments are used to modify instruction and approve achievement as reflected in student trend data. Staff views results and analyzes the impact of curriculum choice through the lens of highly individualized independent study. Typically at the home school instructional change is achieved via changes to curriculum materials.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Teachers evaluate individual student performance frequently. If a student is not performing, often an alternative set of curriculum materials is one of the interventions. Students who do not demonstrate mastery on specific content are given additional instruction, additional skills assessments, and then re-assessed.

### Staffing and Professional Development

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (NCLB)

All current teachers are highly qualified staff.

- 4. Principals' Assembly Bill (AB) 75 training on State Board of Education (SBE) adopted instructional materials (EPC)
  - Home school teachers are part of the district trainings on SBE instructional materials.
- 5. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to AB 466 training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)
  - The school has a sufficient number of credentialed teachers and they have access to district-wide professional development and individualized PD as well.
- 6. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (NCLB)
  - Staff development needs are determined through collaborative discussion and consensus with other professional educators in the district.
- 7. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)
  - Home School teachers have access to any district supplied instructional supports, and constant assistance from the principal as requested.
- 8. Teacher collaboration by grade level (EPC)

Teachers have collaboration time each Wednesday. Typically, there are monthly meetings of the entire district instructional staff, and the weekly professional learning groups are directed by teacher leaders.

#### Teaching and Learning

9. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (NCLB)

Instruction, scope, sequence and materials are aligned with the California State Standards.

10. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (EPC)

Independent study necessitates a unique approach to instructional time. The teacher assigns work based on an estimate of how much time the student will reasonably need to complete the assignment. Through ongoing observation and assessment, the quantity of work is adjusted based on the recommended instructional minutes.

11. Lesson pacing schedule (EPC)

Lesson pacing is directed by the teacher to adhere to the California State Standards.

12. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (NCLB)

All instructional materials are evaluated by multiple staff members and adopted based on the consensus that the resource is appropriate.

13. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials (EPC)

Core instructional materials are SBE adopted. Intervention materials are adopted, and aligned curriculum.

#### Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

14. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (NCLB)

Services at the Home School which support underperforming students include, added instructional time, changes of curricular materials, and an atmosphere of

15. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement at this school (NCLB)

Research based instructional practices used at Colusa Alternative Home School include:

Clear and focused goals for each student.

Frequent monitoring and feedback on learning.

A curriculum tightly aligned to standards.

High expectations for each student.

Additional learning time, and early intervention for students who struggle.

Creation of a safe atmosphere.

Effective implementation of instruction with a high level of parent involvement.

16. Opportunities for increased learning time (Title I SWP and PI requirement)

Recommendations for increased learning time are directed by the teacher based on data and observations of each individual student's performance.

17. Transition from preschool to kindergarten (Title I SWP)

Colusa Alternative Home School does not currently enroll any students below the kindergarten level.

#### Involvement

18. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (NCLB)

19. Strategies to increase parental involvement (Title I SWP)
<ol> <li>Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, and other school personnel in the planning implementation, and evaluation of consolidated application programs (5 CCR 3932)</li> </ol>
<u>Funding</u>
21. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (NCLB)
22. Fiscal support (EPC)

### Appendix C - Programs Included in this Plan

Check the box for each state and federal categorical program in which the school <u>participates</u> and, if applicable, enter amounts allocated. (The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal categorical program in which the school <u>participates</u>. If the school receives funding, then the plan must include the proposed expenditures.)

State I	Programs	Allocation
[]	California School Age Families Education <a href="Purpose">Purpose</a> : Assist expectant and parenting students succeed in school.	\$
[]	Economic Impact Aid/ State Compensatory Education <a href="Purpose">Purpose</a> : Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program.	\$
[]	Economic Impact Aid/ English Learner Program Purpose: Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners	\$
[]	High Priority Schools Grant Program <u>Purpose</u> : Assist schools in meeting academic growth targets.	\$
[]	Instructional Time and Staff Development Reform <u>Purpose</u> : Train classroom personnel to improve student performance in core curriculum areas.	\$
[]	Peer Assistance and Review Purpose: Assist teachers through coaching and mentoring.	\$
[]	Pupil Retention Block Grant <u>Purpose</u> : Prevent students from dropping out of school.	\$
[X]	School and Library Improvement Program Block Grant <u>Purpose</u> : Improve library and other school programs.	\$3500
[]	School Safety and Violence Prevention Act <a href="Purpose">Purpose</a> : Increase school safety.	\$
[]	Tobacco-Use Prevention Education Purpose: Eliminate tobacco use among students.	\$
[]	List and Describe Other State or Local funds (e.g., Gifted and Talented Education):	\$
Total a	mount of state categorical funds allocated to this school	\$3500

Federa	al Programs under No Child Left Behind (NCLB)	Allocation
[]	Title I, Neglected <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement instruction for children abandoned, abused, or neglected who have been placed in an institution	9
[]	Title I, Part D: Delinquent Purpose: Supplement instruction for delinquent youth	\$
[]	Title I, Part A: Schoolwide Program <u>Purpose</u> : Upgrade the entire educational program of eligible schools in high poverty areas	\$
[]	Title I, Part A: Targeted Assistance Program <u>Purpose</u> : Help educationally disadvantaged students in eligible schools achieve grade level proficiency	\$
[]	Title I, Part A: Program Improvement <u>Purpose</u> : Assist Title I schools that have failed to meet NCLB adequate yearly progress (AYP)  targets for one or more identified student groups	\$
[]	Title II, Part A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting <u>Purpose</u> : Improve and increase the number of highly qualified teachers and principals	\$
[]	Title II, Part D: Enhancing Education Through Technology <u>Purpose</u> : Support professional development and the use of technology	\$
[]	Title III, Part A: Language Instruction for Limited-English-Proficient (LEP) Students <u>Purpose</u> : Supplement language instruction to help limited-English-proficient (LEP) students attain  English proficiency and meet academic performance standards	\$
[]	Title IV, Part A: Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities <u>Purpose</u> : Support learning environments that promote academic achievement	\$
[]	Title V: Innovative Programs <u>Purpose</u> : Support educational improvement, library, media, and at-risk students	\$
[]	Title VI, Part B: Rural Education Achievement <u>Purpose</u> : Provide flexibility in the use of NCLB funds to eligible LEAs	\$
[]	Other Federal Funds (list and describe*	\$
Total a	mount of federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$

Total amount of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$3500
Total amount of state and federal categorical funds allocated to this school	\$3500

<sup>\*</sup> For example, special education funds used in a School-Based Coordinated Program to serve students not identified as individuals with exceptional needs.

# Appendix D – 2012-13 Categorical District Services Budget

	SLIP	Title I	LEP	Title V
Allocation	3500	0	0	0
Carryover				
Indirect Costs				
Direct Costs				
Transfer to General Fund	3500			
Intervention Programs				
Less Testing Team				
Plus Parent Involvement				
Schools Allocation				

	2012-13 SUPPORT SERVICES DIRECT COSTS DISTRIBUTION					
Object Code	Description of Services	SIP Amount	Title I Amount	LEP Amount	Title V Amount	
1302	Director of Special Programs: Coordinates categorical programs among sites, develops/maintains district reports/records, compiles program assessment data of common indicators, monitors program performance, prepares/maintains personnel budget data					
1912	Curriculum Specialist: Provides support/staff development/modeling for new teachers, resource teachers.					
2422	Secretarial: Processes and maintains records, originates purchase orders, provides clerical support					
2442	<b>Technician:</b> Provides network support for language arts intervention programs					
2452	Program Analyst: Processes purchase orders and personnel requisitions, provides financial informational support					
2432	Warehouse Assistant: Assists in district-wide book vendor fair, processes and orders library materials.					
2932	Community Liaison: Acts as liaison and translator for the Vietnamese community and the school sites.					
3000	Employee Benefits: Certificate and classified benefits					
4000	Supplies: Programming curriculum materials, office supplies, computer software					
5000	Conferences, Mileage: Reimbursement for professional development, program in-services/conferences, mileage, printing					

### Appendix E - Recommendations and Assurances (Colusa Alternative Home School)

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
English Learner Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Special Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
	Signature
District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
	Signature
Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
	Signature
Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
	Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on:

Attested:		
Dwayne Newman		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date

# Appendix F - Home/School Compact

It is important that families and schools work together to help students achieve high academic stan	dards. Throu	ugh a
process that included teachers, families, and students, the following are agreed upon roles and respons	sibilities that w	ve, as
partners, will carry out to support student success in school and life.		

Stu	dent	Pledg	je:

Parents Pledge:

Staff Pledge:

### Appendix G - School Site Council Membership: Colusa Alternative Home School

Education Code Section 64001 requires that the SPSA be reviewed and updated at least annually, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the through the Consolidated Application, by the school site council. The current make-up of the council is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Numbers of members of each category					

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.