The Single Plan for Student Achievement

School: James M. Burchfield Primary School

CDS Code: 06-61598-6003495

District: Colusa Unified School District

Principal: Maria Arvizu-Espinoza

Revision Date: 2/18/2015

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Maria Arvizu-Espinoza

Position: Principal

Phone Number: (530) 458-5853

Address: 400 Fremont Street

Colusa, CA 95932

E-mail Address: mespinoza@colusa.k12.ca.us

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on April 21, 2015.

Table of Contents

| School Vision and Mission | 4 |
|--|----|
| School Profile | 4 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components | 4 |
| Data Analysis | 4 |
| Surveys | 4 |
| Classroom Observations | 5 |
| Analysis of Current Instructional Program | 5 |
| Description of Barriers and Related School Goals | 8 |
| School and Student Performance Data | 9 |
| Academic Performance Index by Student Group | 9 |
| English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | 10 |
| Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | 11 |
| CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results | 12 |
| CELDT (All Assessment) Results | 13 |
| Title III Accountability (School Data) | 14 |
| Title III Accountability (District Data) | 15 |
| Planned Improvements in Student Performance | 16 |
| School Goal #1 | 16 |
| School Goal #2 | 22 |
| School Goal #3 | 26 |
| School Goal #4 | 29 |
| School Goal #5 | 33 |
| Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance | 34 |
| Centralized Service Goal #1 | 34 |
| Centralized Service Goal #2 | 36 |
| Centralized Service Goal #3 | 37 |
| Centralized Service Goal #4 | 38 |
| Centralized Service Goal #5 | 39 |
| Summary of Expenditures in this Plan | 40 |
| Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source | 40 |
| Total Expenditures by Object Type | 41 |
| Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source | 42 |
| Total Expenditures by Goal | 43 |
| School Site Council Membership | 44 |

| Recommendations and Assurances | 45 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

School Vision and Mission

James M. Burchfield Primary School's Vision and Mission Statements

In conjunction with our district mission statement " to provide a safe, student-centered, high quality education for ALL students", we at Burchfield Primary School are continually striving to improve our programs and meet the challenging needs of our diverse population. Parents, teachers, and students working as a team offer the best opportunity for success. We are dedicated to helping our students achieve academic excellence, learn respect for themselves and others, and develop a sense of integrity.

School Profile

Colusa is a small, rural farming community approximately seventy miles north of Sacramento. Growth has been stable from the previous year. Burchfield Primary is a transitional kindergarten through third grade school. Burchfield Primary School is the only public elementary school in the town. There are 479 students. According to CBEDS, 75% of our students are on free or reduced breakfast or lunch. Burchfield Primary School is a school wide Title 1 site. There are two major languages spoken: English and Spanish. The ethnicity levels are: 73.5% Hispanic, 20% White, and 6.5% Other.

Burchfield Primary School staff is composed of one principal, twenty-three full time classroom teachers, a reading specialist, a part-time music teacher, a special education teacher, a speech therapist, a part-time school psychologist, a part-time counselor, a part-time nurse, six part-time paraeducators, one full time bilingual paraeducator, one administrative assistant, 2 part-time attendance clerks, and a part-time librarian. Staff members are committed to providing a warm, safe and caring environment in which all students can learn. Students are recognized for academic growth and social interaction at the end of each trimester.

All students gain from the opportunity to build a strong literacy base, enabling them to succeed in the core curriculum. Teachers use ongoing assessments to show progress throughout the grade levels. Other programs include counseling groups, psychological services, adaptive physical education, cross-age tutors, an Ag Extension nutrition program, "reading buddies," a Student Study Team, 5-way intervention meetings, a school wide intervention program, after school tutoring, Primary Intervention Program, Healthy Play and Second Step programs, Kinder Camp, PeaceBuilders, and Colusa County Behavioral Health counseling services on site.

Grade level teachers meet regularly to plan collaboratively, review data and discuss student progress. Students are released early on Wednesday for school wide collaboration and staff development. Kindergarten students attend school 212 minutes a day through November 2014 and 289 minutes for the remainder of the 2014-2015 school year and first through third grade students attend school 289 minutes a day. All teachers and paraeducators are NCLB compliant and highly qualified.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Staff was surveyed using online survey in the Fall of 2014 regarding curriculum, instruction, assessment, learning environment, resources, leadership, relationships, and policies. The surveys indicated that the staff agreed that in the areas of curriculum and instruction, there needs to be more training and implementation on an ELD curriculum with reading support for students from the Reading Specialist including purchasing a common math curriculum for all grade levels. Many staff members indicated that there was not sufficient time for professional collaboration. There was a 50% agreement that parent contact takes place weekly if not daily.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Classroom observations are conducted by the principal on a weekly basis.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

A variety of assessments such as the California English Language Development Test (CELDT), the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC), the Academic Performance Index (API), the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and local grade-level assessments are utilized to analyze our curriculum. The results help us in modifying our curriculum and instructional practices in order to help students achieve to their potential. The most recent state data, 2013, indicates the students at Burchfield Primary School did not meet the AYP in both ELA and Math. Hispanic Subgroups did not meet AYP targets for Math and ELA. The data indicates the need for all students to increase proficiency to meet Safe Harbor for AYP: School-wide in Math and ELA. For English Language Learners, AMAO I and II were not met for 2013-14. The results for AMAO III are pending.

2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Common curriculum embedded assessments are given at least every six weeks to monitor student progress. The data from these assessments are used to monitor ongoing student progress, identify individual student needs, inform decisions regarding classroom and schoolwide instructional practices, and determine effectiveness of instructional practice and implementation of our curriculum.

Staffing and Professional Development

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

The school staffs all classrooms with fully credentialed, highly qualified teachers, meeting the requirements of the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act.

4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

Burchfield Primary School has sufficient credentialed teachers who received AB 466 training for our previous language arts adoption and will receive AB 466 training on new SBE-adopted instructional materials when it is available. All teachers will participate in PD for CCSS throughout the 2014-2015 academic year. Teachers will be provided with 1 to 2 release day's per year to meet as a grade-level teams and review curriculum pacing, sharing instructional strategies, and observing best practices in other schools.

5. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Standards based instruction has been a priority for staff development. Staff development is based on assessed staff needs, STAR/API results and grade-level assessments. Weekly collaboration time is scheduled for all teachers and three days of teacher training is scheduled each year. Pacing guides have been established and reflect alignment of curriculum, instruction and materials with state standards.

6. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

A full time reading specialist assists and supports teachers with language arts data, curriculum, training and interventions. School wide staff development is provided as support for the adoption and implementation of the CCSS by grade-level teams. Early release time twice a month for grade level PLC meetings.

7. Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Teachers have release time for collaboration every Wednesday afternoon and are released at least once each trimester by grade level to collaborate, analyze data, share strategies for implementation of CCSS, PLC's, Common Formative Assessments, share best practices, and plan curriculum plan relative to their grade level.

Teaching and Learning

8. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Standards-based textbooks are implemented for students in the subjects of language arts, reading and math. Instruction is regularly monitored for alignment with the standards. State Approved supplemental materials for Math, ELA, and Science will be implemented by teachers. Classroom observations and conferencing with teachers, about instructional practices aligned with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession will take place.

9. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-8) (EPC)

Burchfield Primary School meets the required instructional minutes for language arts and mathematics for all students. This time is given priority and protected as much as is possible from interruptions.

10. Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Lesson pacing guides have been developed at each grade level in both language arts and math. Teacher will also provide differentiated instruction during the day for all students, integrated ELD, and as much as possible, provide small group interventions within the classroom.

11. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

All students including English Language Learners, at-risk students, and socio-economically disadvantaged students are instructed with standards-based curriculum. State textbook funds are allocated for this purpose.

12. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All instructional materials are SBE-adopted and aligned with the state standards.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

13. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

The following services are provided to assist underperforming students:

- *After school tutoring
- *Reading intervention programs
- *High school mentoring program
- * English Language Development (Integrated and Designated)
- *Teacher grade level collaboration to analyze data and target the needs of underperforming students
- *Differentiated instruction to meet the needs of students at all levels
- *School library provides educational materials and programs to meet the needs of all levels of learners
- *Technology resources are provided and integrated into the curriculum during class
- *New teachers are supported through the Tri County Induction Program (TCIP)/(BSTA) through Sutter County Office of Education
- 14. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement

We have worked with an ELA and Math consultant in integrating common, research-based strategies to raise student achievement.

Parental Involvement

15. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Some of the resources available are:

- * District-provided translation services for English-Spanish
- *Translations at Parent/Teacher Conferences, IEPs, SSTs, and school communications
- *After-School program for grades 1st 3rd
- *Colusa County Library
- *After School Tutoring
- *Counseling services
- * 2nd Step and PIP programs
- *Multi-disciplinary team
- *Summer school program
- *School attendance review board (DART)
- *Local Child Care Planning Council funding support
- *First Five
- *Kinder-Camp
- 16. Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Parents, community members, teachers, and other school personnel are invited to participate in the planning, implementation and evaluation of consolidated application programs.

Funding

17. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Categorical funds provide the following services:

- *Title 1 reading teacher and reading intervention aides
- *After School Intervention

- 18. Fiscal support (EPC)
 - *Title I
 - *LCFF

Description of Barriers and Related School Goals

The main challenge that Burchfield Primary School faces is a changing population. Additional challenges include: English learner literacy skill acquisition, ELD alignment to core curriculum, data collection and analysis, implementing standards based instruction with the new CCSS, high number of Social and Economically Disadvantaged Population, decrease in county library funding, and a large number of children without health insurance.

In looking at our current school population, CELDT scores are one of the most significant changes and challenges that we face. Each year we administer the CELDT test to approximately 60 students entering kindergarten. This number has been consistent for the last two years. It indicates that we have an increase in the number of English Learners. However, the students' ability to speak English has changed dramatically. In 2014, we tested sixty-eight kindergarten students and 28 had a CELDT score of "1", 17 had a CELDT score of "2", 19 had a CELDT score of "3", and 4 had a CELDT score of "4". For the 2014 school year, approximately 53% of the student population are English Learners. The incoming Kindergarten students are expected to acquire academic English and compete with their English speaking peers on the STAR test in three years. Our Special Education population has also changed in the past few years. Not only have we had an increase of entering kindergarten students that receive Special Education services, but our total numbers have also increased.

Academic Performance Index by Student Group

| | API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|----------|------|-------|------|------|--|--|
| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | All Students | | | White | | | Afri | can-Amer | ican | Asian | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | | |
| Number Included | 209 | 196 | | 52 | 45 | | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | | | |
| Growth API | 735 | 728 | | 861 | 877 | | | | | | | | | |
| Base API | 738 | 735 | | 869 | 862 | | | | | | | | | |
| Target | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Growth | -3 | -7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Met Target | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | API GRO | WTH BY S | TUDENT (| GROUP | | | | |
|-------------------|-----|-----|------|------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|------|-------------------------------|------|------|
| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | | | : | | English Learners | | | oeconomi advantag | - | Students with Disabilities | | |
| | | | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Number Included | 144 | 140 | | 106 | 106 | | 163 | 155 | | 32 | 37 | |
| Growth API | 687 | 677 | | 656 | 652 | | 698 | 684 | | 481 | 461 | |
| Base API | 697 | 687 | | 674 | 656 | | 702 | 698 | | 456 | 482 | |
| Target | 5 | 6 | | 6 | 7 | | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Growth | -10 | -10 | | -18 | -4 | | -4 | -14 | | | | |
| Met Target | No | No | | No | No | | No | No | | | | |

- 1. Due to the new direction for state assessments, the data for 2014 is currently not available.
- 2. Data from the last two years has shown negative growth in the "All Students" category. The API has not been met. The Growth API has increase by 16 points in the "White" students.
- 3. Hispanic, English Learner, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged did not meet API. The Students with Disabilities subgroup was too small to measure.

English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------------------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|--|--|
| AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL | All Students | | | White | | | African-American | | | | Asian | | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | | |
| Participation Rate | 95 | 99 | | 95 | 98 | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 87 | 78 | | 32 | 29 | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 43.7 | 39.8 | | 62.7 | 64.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | | |
| AYP Target: HS | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | | |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | | No | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------|-------|------|---------------------|-------|------|------------------------------------|-------|------|-------------------------------|-------|--|
| AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL | Hispanic | | | | English Learners | | | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | Students with Disabilities | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| Participation Rate | 94 | 99 | | 94 | 99 | | 94 | 98 | | 82 | 95 | | |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 50 | 44 | | 29 | 28 | | 58 | 48 | | 4 | 1 | | |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 37.0 | 31.4 | | 29.3 | 26.4 | | 37.9 | 31.0 | | 15.4 | 2.7 | | |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 100.0 | |
| AYP Target: HS | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 100.0 | |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | | No | No | | No | No | | | | | |

- 1. Due to the new direction for state assessments, the data for 2014 is currently not available.
- 2. Data from the last two years has shown negative growth in the Percent At or Above Proficient in the "All Students" category including the Hispanic, English Learner, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, and Special Education subgroups.
- 3. The Proficient At or Above Proficient has declined in the last two years in this order: Special Education -12.7%, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged -6.9%, Hispanic -5.6%, English Learners -2.9%, White -3.9%

Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|--|--|
| AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL | All Students | | | White | | | African-American | | | Asian | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | | |
| Participation Rate | 99 | 99 | | 97 | 98 | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 105 | 116 | | 42 | 37 | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 50.2 | 59.2 | | 80.8 | 82.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | | |
| AYP Target: HS | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | | |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |

| | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------|-------|------|---------------------|-------|------|------------------------------------|-------|------|-------------------------------|-------|--|
| AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL | Hispanic | | | | English Learners | | | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | Students with Disabilities | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| Participation Rate | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 99 | | 100 | 98 | | |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 57 | 73 | | 37 | 49 | | 70 | 81 | | 7 | 9 | | |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 39.6 | 52.1 | | 34.9 | 46.2 | | 42.9 | 52.3 | | 21.9 | 24.3 | | |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | |
| AYP Target: HS | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 100.0 | |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | | No | No | | No | No | | | | | |

- 1. Due to the new direction for state assessments, the data for 2014 is currently not available.
- 2. Data from the last two years has shown growth in the Percent At or Above Proficient in the "All Students" category including the Hispanic, English Learner, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged subgroups, and Special Education groups. However, the AYP was only met for the "white" students.
- 3. The Proficient At or Above Proficient has increased in the last two years in this order: Special Education 2.4%, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 9.4%, Hispanic 12.5%, English Learners 11.3%, White 1.4%

CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results

| | | 2013-14 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|---|----------------|----|--------------|----|--------------------|----|-----------|----|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Advanced | | Early Advanced | | Intermediate | | Early Intermediate | | Beginning | | Number Tested | | | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | | | | |
| К | | | 2 | 15 | 4 | 31 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 31 | 13 | | | | |
| 1 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 41 | 18 | 33 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 54 | | | | |
| 2 | | | 10 | 20 | 24 | 47 | 9 | 18 | 8 | 16 | 51 | | | | |
| 3 | | | 2 | 6 | 17 | 55 | 10 | 32 | 2 | 6 | 31 | | | | |
| Total | 6 | 4 | 36 | 24 | 63 | 42 | 28 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 149 | | | | |

- 1. 28% are Advance and Early Advanced
- 2. 42% are Intermediate
- 3. 30% are Early Intermediate and Beginning

CELDT (All Assessment) Results

| | | 2013-14 CELDT (All Assessment) Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|--|----------------|----|--------------|----|--------------------|----|-----------|----|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Advanced | | Early Advanced | | Intermediate | | Early Intermediate | | Beginning | | Number Tested | | | | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | | | | |
| К | | | 5 | 6 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 29 | 31 | 38 | 82 | | | | |
| 1 | 6 | 10 | 24 | 39 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 61 | | | | |
| 2 | | | 10 | 20 | 24 | 47 | 9 | 18 | 8 | 16 | 51 | | | | |
| 3 | | | 3 | 9 | 17 | 53 | 10 | 31 | 2 | 6 | 32 | | | | |
| Total | 6 | 3 | 42 | 19 | 83 | 37 | 51 | 23 | 44 | 19 | 226 | | | | |

- 1. 23% are Advance and Early Advanced
- 2. 37% are Intermediate
- 3. 42% are Early Intermediate and Beginning

Title III Accountability (School Data)

| | Annual Growth | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AMAO 1 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | | | | | | | |
| Number of Annual Testers | | 133 | 149 | | | | | | | |
| Percent with Prior Year Data | | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | | | | | |
| Number in Cohort | | 133 | 149 | | | | | | | |
| Number Met | | 67 | 81 | | | | | | | |
| Percent Met | | 50.4% | 54.4% | | | | | | | |
| NCLB Target | 56.0 | 57.5 | 59.0 | | | | | | | |
| Met Target | | No | No | | | | | | | |

| | | Attaining English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 201 | 1-12 | 201 | 2-13 | 2013-14 | | | | | | | |
| AMAO 2 | Years of EL | instruction | Years of EL | instruction | Years of EL instruction | | | | | | | |
| | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | | | | | | |
| Number in Cohort | | | 209 | 1 | 220 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Number Met | | | 21 | - | 39 | | | | | | | |
| Percent Met | | | 10.0% | - | 17.7% | | | | | | | |
| NCLB Target | 20.1 | 45.1 | 21.4 | 47.0 | 22.8 | 49.0 | | | | | | |
| Met Target | | | No | * | No | | | | | | | |

| 48440.3 | Adequate Y | early Progress for English Learne | er Subgroup |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| AMAO 3 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| English-Language Arts | | | |
| Met Participation Rate | No | Yes | |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | |
| Mathematics | | | |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | |

- 1. AMAO I: There was a 2.5% growth from 2012-13 to 2013-14 from meeting the NCLB Target. The gap is slowly closing.
- 2. AMAO II: For students in less than 5 years of instruction, the percentage of students Attaining English Proficiency is in the 20th percentile.
- 3. AMAO III: Not met due to English Learners not increasing their yearly progress in the percentage in the categories of Proficient or Above.

Title III Accountability (District Data)

| ANA O 1 | Annual Growth | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| AMAO 1 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | | | | |
| Number of Annual Testers | 325 | 307 | 319 | | | | |
| Percent with Prior Year Data | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | | |
| Number in Cohort | 324 | 307 | 319 | | | | |
| Number Met | 186 | 158 | 158 | | | | |
| Percent Met | 57.4 | 51.5 | 49.5 | | | | |
| NCLB Target | 56.0 | 57.5 | 59.0 | | | | |
| Met Target | Yes | No | No | | | | |

| | Attaining English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 201 | 1-12 | 201 | 2-13 | 201 | 3-14 | | | |
| AMAO 2 | Years of EL | instruction | Years of EL instruction | | Years of EL instruction | | | | |
| | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | | | |
| Number in Cohort | 230 | 166 | 242 | 147 | 267 | 126 | | | |
| Number Met | 36 | 66 | 28 | 56 | 45 | 43 | | | |
| Percent Met | 15.7 | 39.8 | 11.6 | 38.1 | 16.9 | 34.1 | | | |
| NCLB Target | 20.1 | 20.1 45.1 | | 47.0 | 22.8 | 49.0 | | | |
| Met Target | No | No | No | No | No | No | | | |

| AMAO 3 | Adequate Yearly Pr | ogress for English Learner Subgro | oup at the LEA Level |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| AIVIAU 3 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| English-Language Arts | | | |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | Yes | Yes |
| Mathematics | | | |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | Yes | Yes |
| Met Target for AMAO 3 | No | Yes | Yes |

- 1. AMAO I: There was a 3.5% decline from 2012-13 to 2013-14 from meeting the NCLB Target. The gap is slowly growing.
- 2. AMAO II: For students in less than 5 years of instruction, the percentage of students Attaining English Proficiency is in the 23th percentile. There was a 3.9% increase from 2012-13 to 2013-14 in narrowing the gap. For students in more than 5 years of instruction, the percentage of students Attaining English Proficiency is in the 39th percentile. There was a 6% decrease from 2012-13 to 2013-14. The gap is growing and placing these students at risk of becoming Long Term English Learners.
- 3. AMAO III: Met for the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years.

School Goal #1

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT: ELA/Math - Pupil Outcomes

LEA GOAL:

All CUSD instructional materials will be aligned to Common Core State Standards

All students proficient or above, at grade level, or on trajectory to become proficient within 3 years.

SCHOOL GOAL #1:

Progression of increase of students on benchmark or above in fluency and comprehension each trimester for all sub groups in English Language Arts.

Raise achievement for all students in Mathematics while narrowing the achievement gap between Hispanic and Caucasian students.

Data Used to Form this Goal:

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP)

Common Formative Assessments

CELDT Data

Classroom Walk-Through Monitoring

Lesson Plans

Writing Prompts

Findings from the Analysis of this Data:

Overall School Wide AYP and API growth from previous year not met in Language Arts.

AYP was not met in Mathematics for the following subgroups: Hispanic, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, and "All Students"

How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) and Local Assessments

Benchmark Assessments

Teacher-created formative assessments

Classroom monitoring

Lesson Plans Survey results PLC's

Teacher Collaboration

Writing Prompt Rubrics

Fluency Assessments

| Actions to be Taken | The alter | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Alignment of instruction to Common Core State Standards: | August 2015-May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | Teachers will meet in PLC groups to analyze assessments and plan instruction. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |
| Assessment: | August 2015-May | Teachers, Reading | Teachers will provide | | | |
| -Students will be monitored biweekly by their classroom teacher on progress toward benchmark goals in ELA -End of unit assessments for Math - ELA Intervention groups - Differentiated instruction ELA/Math - Flexible grouping | 2016 | Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | instruction and assess student performance to monitor instruction and student growth. | | | |

| Actions to be Taken | Time the c | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Improvement of instructional strategies and materials: Implement AR Reading in Kindergarten and First Grades Language Arts/Math Leadership Team Weekly collaboration opportunities Grade level data meetings Alignment of CCSS to CA Treasures/Math curriculum professional development Implementation of district wide learning goals/strategies (use of complete sentences, think/pair share, multiple ways to respond, and random calling) Grade level lesson studies Targeted intervention program Conduct SST before retentions take place | August 2015-May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | Teachers will have collaboration opportunities to analyze data and plan instruction to meet the needs of the identified students who may be academically at risk. The District Wide Learning Goals will be discussed and practiced. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 4,000 |
| Extended learning time: | August 2015-May 2016 Before & After school Summer | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessional, ASES Coordinator, ASES Paraprofessionals | Teachers can volunteer to provide after school intervention for students who are not meeting the benchmarks. ASES Personnel provide homework support to students. | 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | After School and Education Safety (ASES) | 25,000 |

| Actions to be Taken | The aller | Person(s) | | Proposed Exp | enditure(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Increased educational opportunity: - ELA targeted intervention program | September 2015- May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | During the collaboration meetings, teachers are encouraged to analyze data and provide target interventions for those students who require additional support during class and intervention. | | | |
| GATE (testing done in the winter, program conducted in spring) | Testing-Winter 2016 Program-Spring 2016 | Teacher, Principal | Provide educational opportunities to high-achieving students. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Base | 1,000 |
| Professional development and collaboration: - Site committees - Common Core aligned report cards - ELA/ELD/Math consultants - Wednesday collaboration opportunities - Buy Back/Pre-service days; training on timely data analysis - Classified training - Standards and measures used to support learning and continuous improvement - Professional development systematic and ongoing - Data-driven decision making linked to learning - School systems evaluated - Practices of Quality Professional Learning Standards - Love and Logic Training - Staff collegiality | August 2015-May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | Opportunities for both certificated and classified personnel to collaborate and obtain professional development. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |

| Actions to be Taken | Timeline | Person(s) | Proposed Expenditure(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|---------|
| to Reach This Goal | rimeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Involvement of staff, parents and community: (including interpretation of student assessment results to parents) • - ELAC, DELAC, SSC | September 2015- May 2016 | Teachers, Principal, Parents | Parent involvement is critical to the success of students. Transparency with parents in the various committees is critical in obtaining feedback on how to improve our academic goals. | | | |
| Auxiliary services for students and parents: (Including transition from preschool, elementary and middle school) - Back to School Night/Open House - Summer School - Pre-school, Kindergarten and 4th grade Orientations | Sept 2015/April 2016 August 2015 May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals, Librarian, Summer Administrator, Middle School personnel | Transition between schools can assist in achieving a positive start in a new school and likely engage the students so they may experience academic and social success. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Supplemental | 50,000 |
| Supplies: -Teachers will have necessary materials and supplies | August 2015-May 2016 | Principal | Principal will provide students and teachers with adequate instructional materials throughout the academic school year. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 100,000 |
| Reprographics: -Contract with District ReprographicsStudents will be provided copies of instructional material to support learning | August 2015-May 2016 | Principal and District Office | Allocate funds to be able to provide homework packets to reinforce learning at home. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 5,000 |

| Actions to be Taken | The alth a | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---|---------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Technology: • - Purchase Equipment | August 2015-May 2016 | Principal | Provide teachers and students with functional technology to assist with the delivery of instructional content to students | • • | LCFF - Base | 25,000 |

School Goal #2

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT: English Language Development-Pupil Outcomes

LEA GOAL:

ELL Students re- classified as FEP by year 4 in the district.

All CUSD instructional materials will be aligned to Common Core State Standards.

SCHOOL GOAL #2:

Increase in student use of academic language as measured by students moving one proficiency level per year and ongoing academic improvement on ELD benchmark assessments.

Data Used to Form this Goal:

California English Language Development Test (CELDT), California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP)

Findings from the Analysis of this Data:

There is a high percentage of English Learners in our school. We have not met The AMAO for the last three years.

How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

CELDT, CAASPP, and ELD Local Assessments

| Actions to be Taken | Time aline | Person(s) | | Proposed Exp | enditure(s) | , |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Alignment of instruction with English Language Development Common Core State Standards: | August 2015 - May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | Teachers will increase their knowledge and implement the ELD standards into all curriculum. The ELD standards act as a starting point for identifying language that English Learners must develop to successfully access and negotiate the content in and beyond the classroom. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |
| Improvement of instructional strategies and materials: -ELD classroom instruction - Targeted intervention program - ELD staff development - Implementation of school wide learning goals/strategies (use of complete sentences, think/pair/share, and random calling) - Implementation of ELD Benchmark assessments to measure EL growth - Implementation of SST before retention is considered | August 2015 - May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessionals | Teachers will implement differentiated instruction with specific strategies for ELs to ensure equal access to important academic content. | Certificated | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |
| Extended learning time: | August 2015 - May 2016 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessional | Teachers can volunteer to provide before or after school targeted tutoring for EL students who are at risk of not acquiring sufficient English proficiency to access the academic content. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 50,000 |

| Actions to be Taken | | Person(s) | | Proposed Exp | enditure(s) | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Staff development and professional collaboration: - ELD staff development - Staff development alignment of ELD CCSS to curriculum - ELD program discussed at collaboration - EL plan and staff development on lesson delivery - CA Treasures English language development curriculum | August 2014-May 2015 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessional | Teachers will meet in their collaboration meetings to analyze EL data, ELD standards and instruction, and targeted instruction. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |
| Involvement of staff, parents and community: - English Lerner Advisory Council (ELAC) -ELAC representative to School Site Council (SSC) -ELAC representative to DELAC | September 2015 - May 2016 | Principal, teachers, parents | ELAC is a state mandated requirement for schools with 21 or more English Learners enrolled. Meetings are held based on state requirements for English Learners. Childcare and snacks for meetings will be provided. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Supplemental | 250 |
| Monitoring program implementation and results: - ELD Detailed Pacing Guide -Principal will monitor ELD program progress and ELD implementation -ELD assessments -Alignment of ELD CCSS to instruction -Students will be monitored biweekly by their classroom teacher on progress toward benchmark goals - Intervention groups - Differentiated instruction - Flexible grouping | August 2014 - May 2015 | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Paraprofessional | Teachers will assess EL academic growth in core subjects as well as ELD. The ELD standards will be integrated into the regular classroom as well as during the designated ELD time. Assessments will be analyzed and instruction modified based on the assessment results. | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |

School Goal #3

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT: Conditions for Learning

LEA GOAL:

Maintain or increase the positive perceptions of Students, Parents, Staff and the Community about the atmosphere in our schools.

SCHOOL GOAL #3:

Burchfield Primary School will educate students in a learning environment that is sate, drug-free, and conducive to learning for all.

Data Used to Form this Goal:

District Surveys

SSC Meeting Minutes

ELAC Meeting Minutes

Findings from the Analysis of this Data:

Burchfield Primary School provides the community with a safe, nurturing learning environment for students, staff, and parents.

How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

District Surveys

SSC Meeting Minutes

ELAC Meeting Minutes

Student Discipline Data

| Actions to be Taken | Time II | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|--|----------|---------------|---|---------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Increase School Climate: - Decrease Pupil suspension rates - Parent surveys on the sense of student achievement, safety, and school connectedness -School Nurse Support | 2016 | All Personnel | Teach students the core values of Burfield Primary School and the Peace Builders Pledge. Maintain open communication between home/school. | | | |

| Actions to be Taken | I. | Person(s) | | Proposed Exp | enditure(s) | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Physical: - School/District Safety Plan: clearly communicated rules - Safety Committee | August 2015-May 2016 | All Personnel | Practice safety drills on an ongoing basis to ensure student/personnel safety | | | |
| Social — Emotional: | August 2015-May 2016 | All Personnel | Teach students the Peace Builders Pledge. Students are recognized in the Recognition Assemblies based on their positive behavior and academics. Purchase incentives to encourage positive school climate. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 500 |

| Actions to be Taken | Timeline | Person(s) | Proposed Expenditure(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | rimeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | August 2015-May 2016 | Principal, Reading Specialist, Teachers | Teachers implement high expectations for students and provide rigorous and engaging instruction to build selfesteem, increase confidence, and improve academics. Teachers practice Professional Teaching Standards. | | | |
| • • | August 2015-May 2016 | Maintenance Personnel | Students spend a large amount of their time in school and a clean and orderly atmosphere encourages students to want to be in school. | | | |
| | August 2015-May 2016 | Principal and Secretaries | Office staff will provide basic first aid to students for minor scrapes and bumps. School will supply bandages and ice. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 500 |

School Goal #4

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT: Engagement

LEA GOAL:

Parents involved in CUSD schools as much as they are able and willing.

SCHOOL GOAL #4:

Parental involvement is associated with higher student achievement outcomes.

Improve the engagement of the entire school community including the levels of parent involvement and student engagement.

Data Used to Form this Goal:

District Satisfaction Surveys

Walk-Throughs

SSC Meeting Minutes

ELAC Meeting Minutes

Findings from the Analysis of this Data:

There is a need to increase parent involvement.

How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Student Achievement Data

District Satisfaction Surveys

Walk-Throughs

SSC Meeting Minutes

ELAC Meeting Minutes

Parent Participation in School Events

Parent/Teacher Conference Participation

| Actions to be Taken | | Person(s) | | Proposed Exp | enditure(s) | |
|--|----------|---|---|--------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Ongoing Communication: Teachers will meet with parents at Back To School Night and parent conferences to relay exact information on how to increase student achievement in the areas of sight word reading, math facts, comprehension, and fluency reading. Suggestions and materials will be offered to parents so that they will be able to directly effect their student's ability to meet their benchmark goals. Parent/Teacher Conferences Bilingual Monthly Newsletter Bilingual School Website Bilingual Parent Handbook Timely phone calls to parents Correspondence between school and families | | Teachers, Reading Specialist, Principal, Classified Personnel | School will mail bilingual correspondences related to students attendance, progress, testing, meetings, etc. Teachers will meet with parents during fall conferences and though the year to inform families of their student's academic progress. | | LCFF - Base | 1,000 |

| | Actions to be Taken | I. | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|----|---|------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Pa | rental Input/Involvement: -Efforts to seek parent input in decision making -Retention of Students via SST -Promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups -School Site Council -English Learner Advisory Council -Parent Club -Volunteers -Flexible Meetings -Child Care for Meetings -Principal Home Visits -Interpreters -Information sent in home language | August 2015 - May 2016 | All Personnel | Hold monthly meetings for SSC, ELAC, and Parent Club to inform parents on student achievement, funding, and general school business. | | | |
| Co | mmunity Events: -Back to School -Spring Performance -Family Nights -Book Fair -Puppet Show -Jog-a-Thon -Civic Assembly -Recognition Assemblies | August 2015 - May 2016 | All Personnel | Provide parents with an opportunity to socialize with other parents, get to know the student's teacher, reinforce positive teacher-parents relationships, and observe school resources. Principal to welcome families. Classified personnel to assist in locating classrooms and interpreting. | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 500 |

| Actions to be Taken | I Timeline I | Person(s) | Proposed Expenditure(s) | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Support Parents in Helping their Children at Home: -Encourage parents to read to their children daily -Offer Bilingual books -Assign homework in a way that encourages parents to be actively involved with their child's homework and study time. -Assign realistic amounts of homework. | August 2015 - May 2016 | | | | | |

School Goal #5

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

| UBJECT: | |
|--|--|
| EA GOAL: | |
| | |
| CHOOL GOAL #5: | |
| | |
| ata Used to Form this Goal: | |
| | |
| indings from the Analysis of this Data: | |
| | |
| ow the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal: | |
| | |
| | |

| Actions to be Taken | - | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in this section must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

Centralized Service Goal #1

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in English Language Arts/Mathematics - Pupil Outcomes

SCHOOL GOAL #1:

Progression of increase of students on benchmark or above in fluency and comprehension each trimester for all sub groups in English Language Arts. Raise achievement for all students in Mathematics while narrowing the achievement gap between Hispanic and Caucasian students.

| Actions to be Taken | Time alline | Person(s) | Proposed Expenditure(s) | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Provide support to teachers and administrators in the area of testing and data analysis. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office, Reading Specialist | | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Supplemental | 100,000 |
| Document Tracking Systems is utilized district-wide by site and district administration to create, organize, and post the SPSA, Safety Plan, SARC, and LCAP. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office and School Principal | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 2,000 |
| Data Management System - Illuminate pending district approval | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office, School Principal, Teachers, Reading Specialist | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | Title I Part A: Allocation | 2,500 |
| Plan and provide professional development for teachers for the implementation and assessment programs related to the common core state standards on hold per the district superintendent | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office, Principal, Reading Specialist | | 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | Title I Part A: Allocation | 5,000 |

| Actions to be Taken | | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | enditure(s) | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------|--|---------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Provide support to teachers and administrators in the area of curriculum and instruction and special programs and assessment to improve the academic achievement of EL students. | July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 | District Office, Reading Specialist | | | | |
| Full Day Kindergarten Classes | November 2015 - May 2016 | District Office, Principal | | | | |
| Have a structured RtI program in place. Student assessment data will be reviewed on a regular basis, students will be targeted for intervention and progress monitoring will determine the success of the program. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office, Principal, Reading Specialist | | | | |
| The district will provide professional development on the newly adopted Math curriculum. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office, Principal | | 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | LCFF - Supplemental | 5.000 |

Centralized Service Goal #2

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in English Language Development - Pupil Outcomes

SCHOOL GOAL #2:

Increase in student use of academic language as measured by students moving on proficiency level per year and ELD benchmark assessments.

| Actions to be Taken | The aller | Person(s) | | Proposed Expo | enditure(s) | |
|---|-------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------------------------|---------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Provide support to teachers and administrators in the area of curriculum and instruction and special programs and assessment to improve the academic achievement of EL students. | July 2015- June 2016 | District Office, Reading Specialist | | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Supplemental | 100,000 |
| Document Tracking Systems is utilized district-wide by site and district administration to create, organize, and post the SPSA, Safety Plan, SARC, and LC | July 2015- June 2016 | District Office and School Principal | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 2,000 |
| Data Management System - Illuminate to provide assessment reports, benchmarks and report cards. Pending district approval | July 2015- June 2016 | District Office, School Principal, Teachers, Reading Specialist | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | Title I Part A: Allocation | 2,500 |
| Reading Specialist responsible for assessment coordination, curriculum support and coaching and facilitating PLCs and the Rtl Process. | July 2015- June 2016 | Reading Specialist | | | | |
| Structured Rtl program in place. Student assessment data will be reviewed on a regular basis, students will be targeted for intervention and progress monitoring will determine the success of the program. | July 2015- June 2016 | Principal, Reading Specialist | | | | |

Centralized Service Goal #3

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in Conditions of Learning

SCHOOL GOAL #3:

Burchfield Primary School will educate students in a learning environment that is safe, drug-free, and conducive to learning for all.

| Actions to be Taken | II | Person(s) | | Proposed Expenditure(s) | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------------|--|---------------------|---------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Common Core curriculum will be purchased by the district and provided to the sites for support in the implementation of the Common Core State Standards. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Supplemental | 100,000 |
| · · · | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office and Reading Specialist | | 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | LCFF - Supplemental | 5,000 |
| The district will provide staff development to school personnel to assist with the implementation of the common core state standards. | July 2015 - June 2016 | District Office and Reading Specialist | | 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | LCFF - Supplemental | 25,000 |

Centralized Service Goal #4

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in Engagement

SCHOOL GOAL #4:

Parental involvement is associated with higher student achievement outcomes.

Improve the engagement of the entire school community including the levels of parent involvement and student engagement.

| Actions to be Taken | I: | Person(s) | | Proposed Expe | nditure(s) | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |
| Provide translation services in Spanish to parents. | July 2015- June 2016 | District Office, Principal | | 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 45,000 |
| Provide training, materials, childcare and food for parent involvement in school committees. | July 2015- June 2016 | Principal | | 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Supplemental | 2,500 |
| Compliance laws are met as parents are notified of student's EL Status and test results. | July 2015- June 2016 | Principal | | | | |
| Monitor and improve student attendance, discipline and the independent studies program. | July 2015- June 2016 | Principal, Attendance Clerks | | | | |
| A parent engagement program that supports parents and encourages their involvement in the schools and assisting their children at home. | July 2015- June 2016 | All Personnel | | | | |
| Clerical Support to provide the schools with district support. | July 2015- June 2016 | Principal, Clerical | | | | |

Centralized Service Goal #5

| SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in | |
|--|--|
| SCHOOL GOAL #5: | |
| | |

| Actions to be Taken | II | Person(s) Proposed Expenditure(s) | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------|----------------|--------|
| to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Responsible | Description | Туре | Funding Source | Amount |

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

| Total Allocations by Funding Source | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Funding Source | Allocation | Balance (Allocations-Expenditures) | | |
| Title I Part A: Allocation | 120,000 | 36,000.00 | | |
| Title II Part A: Improving Teacher | 72,000 | 72,000.00 | | |
| Title III | 15,158 | 15,158.00 | | |
| After School and Education Safety | 112,500 | 87,500.00 | | |
| LCFF - Supplemental | 109,590 | 59,340.00 | | |
| Lottery: Instructional Materials | 15,000 | 15,000.00 | | |

| Total Expenditures by Funding Source | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|
| Funding Source | Total Expenditures | | |
| After School and Education Safety (ASES) | 25,000.00 | | |
| LCFF - Base | 133,500.00 | | |
| LCFF - Supplemental | 50,250.00 | | |
| Title I Part A: Allocation | 84,000.00 | | |

Total Expenditures by Object Type

| Object Type | Total Expenditures |
|--|--------------------|
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | 135,000.00 |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | 25,000.00 |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | 132,750.00 |

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

| Object Type | Funding Source | Total Expenditures | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | After School and Education Safety (ASES) | 25,000.00 | |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Base | 1,000.00 | |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Base | 132,500.00 | |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF - Supplemental | 50,000.00 | |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF - Supplemental | 250.00 | |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 84,000.00 | |

Total Expenditures by Goal

| Goal Number | Total Expenditures |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Goal 1 | 220,000.00 |
| Goal 2 | 70,250.00 |
| Goal 3 | 1,000.00 |
| Goal 4 | 1,500.00 |

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

| Name of Members | Principal | Classroom Teacher | Other School Staff | Parent or Community Member | Secondary Students |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mria Arvizu-Espinoza | Х | | | | |
| Tiffany Bailey | | х | | | |
| Liz Berry | | | | Х | |
| Cindy Cerney | | | | Х | |
| Jennifer Hardwick | | | | Х | |
| Rosemary Hicks | | | Х | | |
| Jim Imhoff | | Х | | | |
| Shelly Kittle | | | | Х | |
| Cristina Rodriguez-Dully | | Х | _ | | |
| Tiffany Sines | | | | Х | |
| Numbers of members of each category: | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

| | State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee | |
|---|---|-----------|
| | | Signature |
| X | English Learner Advisory Committee | |
| | | Signature |
| | Special Education Advisory Committee | |
| | | Signature |
| | Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee | |
| | | Signature |
| | District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement | |
| | | Signature |
| | Compensatory Education Advisory Committee | |
| | | Signature |
| | Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary) | |
| | | Signature |
| | Other committees established by the school or district (list): | |
| | | Signature |

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

| Maria Arvizu-Espinoza | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| Typed Name of School Principal | Signature of School Principal | Date |
| | | |
| | | |
| Typed Name of SSC Chairperson | Signature of SSC Chairperson | Date |