

The Single Plan for Student Achievement

School: Colusa Alternative High School
CDS Code: 06-61598-0630012
District: Colusa Unified School District
Principal: Rebecca Changus
Revision Date: 6/10/16

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Rebecca Changus
Position: Principal
Phone Number: (530) 458-2232
Address: 745 10th Street
Colusa, CA 95932
E-mail Address: rchangus@colusa.k12.ca.us

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on .

Table of Contents

School Vision and Mission	3
School Profile.....	3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	3
Data Analysis	3
Surveys	3
Classroom Observations.....	3
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	3
Description of Barriers and Related School Goals	5
School and Student Performance Data	6
CAASPP Results (All Students)	6
CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results.....	9
CELDT (All Assessment) Results.....	10
Title III Accountability (School Data)	11
Title III Accountability (District Data).....	12
Planned Improvements in Student Performance	13
School Goal #1.....	13
School Goal #2.....	14
School Goal #3.....	15
School Goal #4.....	16
School Goal #5.....	17
Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance	18
Centralized Service Goal #1.....	18
Centralized Service Goal #2.....	19
Centralized Service Goal #3.....	20
Centralized Service Goal #4.....	21
Centralized Service Goal #5.....	22
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan.....	23
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	23
Total Expenditures by Object Type.....	24
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source.....	25
Total Expenditures by Goal	26
School Site Council Membership.....	27
Recommendations and Assurances.....	28

School Vision and Mission

Colusa Alternative High School's Vision and Mission Statements

School Profile

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Staffing and Professional Development

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)
4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)
5. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)
6. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)
7. Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Teaching and Learning

8. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)
9. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)
10. Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)
11. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)
12. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

13. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

14. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Parental Involvement

15. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

16. Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Funding

17. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

18. Fiscal support (EPC)

Description of Barriers and Related School Goals

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results (All Students)

English Language Arts/Literacy

Overall Participation for All Students								
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled		# of Students Tested		# of Students with Scores		% of Enrolled Students Tested	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	10	6	4	6	3	4	40.0	66.7
All Grades	10	6	4	6	3	4	40.0	66.7

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students										
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score		% Standard Exceeded		% Standard Met		% Standard Nearly Met		% Standard Not Met	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts							
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard		
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results (All Students)

Mathematics

Overall Participation for All Students								
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled		# of Students Tested		# of Students with Scores		% of Enrolled Students Tested	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	10	6	5	6	5	6	50.0	100
All Grades	10	6	5	6	5	6	50.0	100

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students										
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score		% Standard Exceeded		% Standard Met		% Standard Nearly Met		% Standard Not Met	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions						
Grade Level	% Above Standard		% At or Near Standard		% Below Standard	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results

Grade	Percent of Students by Proficiency Level on CELDT Annual Assessment														
	Advanced			Early Advanced			Intermediate			Early Intermediate			Beginning		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
10												***			
11					***			***						***	
12					***	17		***	33			50			
Total					33	14		50	29			57		17	

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

CELDT (All Assessment) Results

Grade	Percent of Students by Proficiency Level on CELDT All Assessments (Initial and Annual Combined)														
	Advanced			Early Advanced			Intermediate			Early Intermediate			Beginning		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
10												***			
11					***			***	***					***	
12					***	17		***	33			50			
Total					33	13		50	38			50		17	

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Title III Accountability (School Data)

AMAO 1	Annual Growth		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Number of Annual Testers		6	7
Percent with Prior Year Data		100%	100.0%
Number in Cohort		6	7
Number Met		--	--
Percent Met		--	--
NCLB Target	59.0	60.5	62.0%
Met Target		--	--

AMAO 2	Attaining English Proficiency					
	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction	
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More
Number in Cohort			0	6	0	8
Number Met			--	--	--	--
Percent Met			--	--	--	--
NCLB Target	22.8	49.0	24.2	50.9	25.4%	52.8%
Met Target			--	--	--	--

AMAO 3	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English-Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	--	--	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	--	--	
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	--	--	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	--	--	

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Title III Accountability (District Data)

AMAO 1	Annual Growth		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Number of Annual Testers	319	371	378
Percent with Prior Year Data	100.0		100
Number in Cohort	319	371	378
Number Met	158	203	177
Percent Met	49.5	54.7	46.8
NCLB Target	59.0	60.5	62.0%
Met Target	No	No	N/A

AMAO 2	Attaining English Proficiency					
	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction	
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More
Number in Cohort	267	126	280	166	287	181
Number Met	45	43	61	53	52	59
Percent Met	16.9	34.1	21.8	31.9	18.1	32.6
NCLB Target	22.8	49.0	24.2	50.9	25.4%	52.8%
Met Target	No	No	No	No	N/A	N/A

AMAO 3	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English-Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	96	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	Yes	N/A	
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	95	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	Yes	N/A	
Met Target for AMAO 3	Yes		N/A

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

School Goal #1

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #1:
Continuing to counsel students bi-monthly, ensuring credits are being made in a timely fashion and the path to graduation is in place.
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:
Students will receive exit interviews to determine the success of monthly meetings.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount
Counselor will meet with each student twice a month.	August 2016 to May 2017.	Counselor				
Exit interview to be completed at the end of the year.	May 2017	Counselor, Principal				

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

School Goal #2

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #2:
After determining the needs of our school through the Action Team and School Site Council it has been determined that there continues to be a need to improve attendance at the alternative school. Attendance will improve from 80% in 2016 to 82.0%% this year. The goal is to improve overall attendance to over 85% by the end of the 2017/2018 school year. Attendance for seniors will be 90%.
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:
The attendance clerk, principal, and teachers will meet monthly to evaluate attendance and ways to improve. The principal will make home visits to students who are not regularly attending school. We will continue to send letters home, call parents, and notify students about attendance issues.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount
The attendance clerk will meet with the principal monthly to evaluate the students struggling with attendance.	August 2016 to May 2017	Attendance clerk, Principal				
The principal will meet with students and parents who miss a significant amount of days from school.	August 2016 to May 2017	Principal				
Attendance data sent by the district office each month will be evaluated by the principal and attendance clerk.	August 2016 to May 2017	Attendance clerk, principal				

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

School Goal #3

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #3:
To improve communication to the board and school community.
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:
A survey will be given to parents at the end of each semester. School Site Council meetings will discuss goals of the school during each meeting. Staff feedback will be given during each staff meeting.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount
The website will be updated daily to include important events for parents and the community.	August 2016 to May 2017	Principal, attendance clerk, teachers				
The CAHS website will be updated regularly to ensure the community is aware of all activities.	August 2016 to May 2017	Principal. teachers				

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

School Goal #4

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #4:
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Planned Improvements in Student Performance

School Goal #5

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet academic performance index (API) and adequate yearly progress growth (AYP) targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #5:
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in this section must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

Centralized Service Goal #1

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #1:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

Centralized Service Goal #2

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #2:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

Centralized Service Goal #3

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #3:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

Centralized Service Goal #4

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #4:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

Centralized Service Goal #5

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #5:

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
			Description	Type	Funding Source	Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Total Allocations by Funding Source		
Funding Source	Allocation	Balance (Allocations-Expenditures)
Title I Part A: Allocation	8545	8,545.00
LCFF-EL	11,561	11,561.00

Total Expenditures by Funding Source	
Funding Source	Total Expenditures

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Object Type	Total Expenditures

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

Object Type	Funding Source	Total Expenditures

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Numbers of members of each category:					

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s) to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan **(Check those that apply)**:

State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee

Signature

English Learner Advisory Committee

Signature

Special Education Advisory Committee

Signature

Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee

Signature

District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement

Signature

Compensatory Education Advisory Committee

Signature

Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)

Signature

Other committees established by the school or district (list):

Signature

4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Rebecca Changus

Typed Name of School Principal

Signature of School Principal

Date

Typed Name of SSC Chairperson

Signature of SSC Chairperson

Date